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"Questions about the metric relations of Space in the immeasurably small are thus not idle ones."
-Bernhard Riemann



Let $S_{g}$ denote a closed, orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ be the associated curve graph and $d$ be the associated path metric. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be curves on $S_{g}$ and $T_{\beta}(\alpha)$ be the Dehn twist of $\alpha$ about $\beta$.

If $d(\alpha, \beta)=3$, we show that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)=4$. This produces many tractable examples of distance 4 vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{q}\right)$. As an application we show that the minimum intersection number of any two curves at a distance 4 on $S_{g}$ is at most $(2 g-1)^{2}$.

Let $d(\alpha, \beta)=4$. We fix the vertex $\alpha$ and apply $T_{\beta}$ to it in an attempt to create pairs of curves at a distance 5 apart. We give a necessary and sufficient topological condition for $d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)$ to be 4 . We then characterise the pairs of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for which $5 \leq d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right) \leq 6$. Lastly, we give an example of a pair of curves on $S_{2}$ which represent vertices at a distance 5 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ with intersection number 144. This example gives that $i_{\min }(2,5) \leq 144$.

Our proofs majorly rely on cut and paste techniques.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

A surface, $S$, is a real two-dimensional oriented differential manifold. It's natural to wonder how many distinct complex structures can $S$ be equipped with. This problem is popularly known as the Riemann's moduli problem and the corresponding space of these structures is known as the moduli space of $S$. We briefly look into the moduli space of $S$ when $S$ is a closed surface. For the case when $S$ has genus zero, its moduli space consists of a single point which is the Riemann sphere. When the genus of $S$ is one, it has been proven that its moduli space can be identified by the complex plane. Consider $S=S_{g}$ has genus, $g$, greater than one. Riemann claimed that the moduli space of $S_{g}$ is determined by $3 g-3$ complex parameters. After Riemann, the structure of the moduli space of $S_{g}$ became an active field of interest over the next few decades.

Teichmüller gave a new approach to the moduli problem by defining a cover of the moduli space and studying its structure intrinsically. This space, known as the Teichmüller space corresponding to $S_{g}$, is denoted by Teich $\left(S_{g}\right)$ and is defined as follows : By a hyperbolic structure on $S_{g}$ we will mean a diffeomorphism $f: S_{g} \longrightarrow$ $T$, where $T$ is a surface with a complete, finite-area hyperbolic metric and denote it by $(T, f)$. We refer to $(T, f)$ as a marked hyperbolic surface. We say that $\left(T_{1}, f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(T_{2}, f_{2}\right)$ are equivalent if there is an isometry $I: T_{1} \longrightarrow T_{2}$ such that $I \circ f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are homotopic. The space of distinct marked hyperbolic surfaces of $S_{g}$ is Teich $\left(S_{g}\right)$. The group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $S_{g}$ is known as the mapping class group of $S_{g}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$. A classical fact is that the moduli space of $S$ comes out to be Teich $\left(S_{g}\right) / \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$. An elaborate account of the historical development of the moduli space of surfaces and the corresponding Techmüller spaces can be found in [1].

In [11], William J. Harvey associated a finite dimensional simplical complex corresponding to a surface, called the complex of curves, as a tool to study the corresponding Teichmüller space. By a curve on $S_{g}$ we will mean an essential simple closed curve on it. Harvey considered the vertices of the complex of curves to be the isotopy classes of curves on $S_{g}$ and any collection of $k+1$ mutually disjoint curves comprised to form a $k$-simplex. In [13], Ivanov used the complex of curves to give a geometric proof to the famous theorem by Royden in [23] which states that the isometry group of the Teich $\left(S_{g}\right)$ is the corresponding extended mapping class group. The complex of curves becomes a natural geometric object on which $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ acts and thus becomes an intriguing space to study. Although the higher dimensional simplices find a number of applications (see, $[\mathbf{1 4}]$ ), the combinatorial properties of the complex of curves is completely determined by its 1-skeleton. This 1-dimensional simplical complex is called the curve graph and is denoted by $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. The curve graph is a connected graph (see, $[\mathbf{9}]$ ) and thus, it can be equipped with a path-metric $d$. More precisely, the distance $d$ between any two vertices of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is the minimal number of edges in any edge path between them.

Masur and Minsky in their seminal work in [18] discovered that $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with the metric $d$ is an infinite diameter $\delta$-hyperbolic space. Later it was shown that the $\delta$ can be chosen to be independent of the surface $S_{g}$, see [2], [7], [8], [12], [22]. The coarse geometry of the curve complex plays a pivotal role in understanding the hyperbolic structure of 3-manifolds, the mapping class group of surfaces and Teichmuller theory. One can see [21] for many such applications.

In comparison the local geometry of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ remains relatively unexplored. For instance there is no characterisation of a 3 -sphere around a vertex in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. A fundamental hindrance while studying $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is that there are infinitely many distinct vertices adjacent to any vertex in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. In [19], the authors circumvented this local infinitude of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by defining a set of geodesics called the tight geodesics in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. They prove that between any two vertices of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ there are only finitely many tight geodesics. Similar notions have been used in $[\mathbf{2 4}],[\mathbf{2 5}],[\mathbf{2 6}]$ and $[\mathbf{5}]$ to overcome this local pathology of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and to compute distances between any two vertices. In [4], the authors show the existence of infinite geodesic rays in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. The intersection number between the vertices of these geodesic rays is bounded above by a polynomial of the complexity of the surface and hence, is asymptotically low. Knowing the local geometry of the curve graph promises aid in determining exact distances between its vertices more efficiently than the existing methods. Further, this information can also be employed in calculating the translation length of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes and studying the action of $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ more precisely.

Ivanov proved in [13] that the group of automorphisms of the complex of curves is $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is generated by Dehn twists about a finite collection
of curves on $S_{g}$, we attempt a study of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ at a granular scale by looking at the impact of powers of Dehn twists on vertices of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ which are at shorter distances apart. Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be two curves on $S_{g}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1. If $d(\alpha, \gamma)=1$, then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=d(\alpha, \gamma)-1$.
REmARK 2. If $d(\alpha, \gamma)=2$, then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=d(\alpha, \gamma)$.
Remark 1 follows from the fact that if $d(\alpha, \gamma)=1$, then $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)=\alpha$. Remark 2 can be arrived at as follows : if $d(\alpha, \gamma)=2$, then there exists a curve $c$ on $S_{g}$ such that $d(\alpha, c)=1$ and $d(c, \gamma)=1$. Since $i\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=p(i(\alpha, \gamma))^{2}$ therefore, $i\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right) \neq 0$. Hence, $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right) \geq 2$. Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are essential, simple closed curves in $S_{g} \backslash c$ we have, $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ is also an essential, simple closed curve in $S_{g} \backslash c$. It follows that $\alpha, c, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ forms a geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

In general, one can ask the following question:
QUESTION 1. If $d(\alpha, \gamma)>2$, then what is the relation between $d(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $d\left(\gamma, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right) ?$

We note that if $d(\alpha, \gamma)=n$ then $d\left(T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha), \gamma\right)=n$. This follows from taking the image of the geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ between $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ under the action of the isometry $T_{\gamma}^{p}$.

### 1.1. Overview of the thesis

In chapter 2 we define and state some properties of the mapping class group, curve graph, minimal intersection number and efficient geodesics.

Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be curves on $S_{g}$. In chapter 3, we state and prove the following theorem 5.

THEOREM 5. Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$. Then $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ also fills $S_{g}$.

In chapter 4, we apply theorem 5 to prove theorem 6 as stated below. This answers question 1 for $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$ and shows that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=d(\alpha, \gamma)+1$.

Theorem 6. If $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be two curves on $S_{g}$ with $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$, then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ $=4$.

A byproduct of theorem 6 is infinitely many examples of vertices at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. These examples are the first examples of curves at a distance 4 apart on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g>3}\right)$ which can be explicitly seen as a three dimensional rendering. As a demonstration of our method we construct a pair of distance 4 curves on $S_{4}$ (Figure 2) from a minimally intersecting pair of distance 3 curves (Figure 1) as described in [3]. In general, Aougab and Huang give a method to construct pairs of minimally


Figure 1. Minimally intersecting curves representing vertices at a distance 3 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{4}\right)$


Figure 2. Curves on $S_{4}$ representing vertices at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{4}\right)$. The intersection number of these curves is 49 .
intersecting pair of curves which are at a distance 3 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g \geq 3}\right)$. Using any such pair of curves on $S_{g}$ we can explicitly construct a pair of curves which are at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

The minimal intersection number between any two curves on $S_{g}$ which are at a distance $n$ is denoted by $i_{\min }(g, n)$. In [4], Aougab and Taylor proved that for $g \geq 3, i_{\min }(g, 3)=2 g-1$ and $i_{\text {min }}(2,3)=4$. In [4], Aougab and Taylor proved that in general, $i_{\min }(g, 4)=O\left(g^{2}\right)$. In chapter 4 , we apply our examples of curves which are at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ to improve the known upper bound of $i_{\min }\left(g_{\geq 4}, 4\right)$ to $(2 g-1)^{2}$.

Corollary 1. For a surface of genus $g \geq 3, i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$.

As a natural extension to theorem 6, we look into the analogous question 2. We were motivated to look into this process with the long term promise of creating examples of curves at a distance $n+1$ by using curves at a distance $n$ apart.

Question 2. For curves, $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, on $S_{g}$ with $d(\alpha, \gamma)=4$, what are the possible values of $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)$ for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ?

In chapter 5 we define a family of curves known as the scaling curves. These curves are formed using arcs of $\gamma \backslash \alpha$ and we show that they fill along with $\alpha$. The idea behind a scaling curve is the intuition that $\gamma$ encodes the information of a few naturally occurring curves which are at a distance 3 from $\alpha$ and distance 1 from $\gamma$.

Let $a_{0}=\alpha, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}=\gamma$ be a geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. The authors in [4] have shown that for some large enough constant $B \in \mathbb{N}, d\left(a_{0}, T_{T_{a_{3}}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=6$. The authors use arguments involving subsurface projection to show that

$$
d\left(a_{0}, T_{T_{a_{3}}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=6
$$

for a large enough constant $B \in \mathbb{N}$. In chapter 6 , we employ the same arguments to show that for any general $\gamma$ there exists a constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{k}(\alpha)\right)=6$, for every $k \geq K$, instead of the particular case when $\gamma=T_{a_{3}}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)$. We then show that

$$
4 \leq d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \leq 6\right.
$$

We use the scaling curves introduced in chapter 5 to give a necessary and sufficient condition for $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=4$ in lemma 9 .

Let $N$ be an annular neighbourhood of $a_{4}$ and $B_{m}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ be the sphere of radius $m$ around $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$. Let $\delta \in B_{1}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ and $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \cap B_{1}(\delta)$. Then, $c$ is a standard single strand curve if $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=1$ and if there exists an isotopic representative of $c$ such that $\left(c \cap a_{0}\right) \subset N$. In section 6.3 , we describe a placement of the components of $N \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ which is equivalent to there being a curve on $S_{g}$ which is mutually disjoint from $a_{0}$ and $c$. We call this arrangement of the components as the stacking property. We then apply lemma 9 to arrive at the following theorem which gives that $5 \leq d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \leq 6$ for a judicious choice of $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$.

Theorem 9. Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d(\alpha, \gamma)=4$ and the components of $S_{g} \backslash(\alpha \cup \gamma)$ doesn't contain any hexagons. Then, $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right) \geq 5$ if and only if there doesn't exist any standard single strand curve $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ having the stacking property.

In chapter 7 we give a pair of curves on $S_{2}$ which are at a distance 5 apart on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ with intersection number 144. An immediate conclusion of this example is:

Corollary 4. $i_{\text {min }}(2,5) \leq 144$.

### 1.2. Prospects

A conclusion from the above results is that as we ascend distances from $d\left(a_{0}, a_{3}\right)$ $=3$ to $d\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)=4$, the neatness of the result $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{n \leq 3}}^{p}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=d\left(a_{0}, a_{n}\right)+C(n)$, where $C(n)$ is a constant function, doesn't carry over to the value of $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{p}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$. Rather we have a pair of curves $b_{0}, b_{4}$ on $S_{2}$ and a constant $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d\left(b_{0}, b_{4}\right)=4$ and $d\left(b_{0}, T_{b_{4}}^{k}\left(b_{0}\right)\right)=6, \forall k \geq K$ but $d\left(b_{0}, T_{b_{4}}\left(b_{0}\right)\right)=5$. This thus prompts the following questions :

Question 3. What are the values of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=6$ ?
Let $v$ and $w$ be curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d(v, w)=n \geq 3$. Further suppose $i(v, w)=i_{\min }(g, n)$. The components of $S_{g} \backslash(v \cup w)$ can be regarded as polygons whose edges are arcs from the set $(v \backslash w) \cup(w \backslash v)$. In [6], the authors remark from their observations regarding $i_{\min }(g, n)$ when $g=2$ and $n=3,4$ that for lower distances the minimal intersection number is not only dependent on $g$ and $n$ but also on the combinatorics of the polygons in $S_{g} \backslash(v \cup w)$. We observe from our core proof idea of theorem 5 that the polygonal composition of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ differs from the polygonal composition of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ only in the number of rectangles. The number of rectangles depend on $k$ and $i\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)$. This observation along with the finding that $d\left(b_{0}, T_{b_{4}}^{k}\left(b_{0}\right)\right)$ can either be 5 or, 6 depending on the value of $k$ helps us deduce that rectangles in $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ have a significant role in determining distances in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

Question 4. Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ be curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)=4$ and $i\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)=i_{\min }(g, 4)$. Is $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=5$ ?

We conjecture that question 4 has a positive answer. We further conjecture that for any general $\gamma$ with $d\left(a_{0}, \gamma\right)=4$ such that $a_{0}$ and $\gamma$ have arbitrary intersection number, it need not be true that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{\gamma}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=5$. A possible counterexample to this might be $\gamma=T_{a_{3}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ where $d\left(a_{0}, a_{3}\right)=3$ and $d\left(a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=1$. We conjecture that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{T_{a_{3}}\left(a_{0}\right)}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=6$.

From our observations that $i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq i_{\min }(g, 3)^{2}$, it prompts us to ask the following question :

Question 5. Is $i_{\min }(g, 5) \leq i_{\min }(g, 4)^{2}$ ?
In [4], Aougab and Taylor showed that for large enough distances, $i_{\min }(g, n)$ is independent of $g$. From [17], we note that the geodesic triangle in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with vertices $a_{0}, a_{3}$ and $T_{a_{3}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is 0-hyperbolic for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The results in [4] give that the geodesic triangle in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with vertices $a_{0}, a_{3}$ and $T_{T_{a_{3}}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is 0 -hyperbolic for some constant $B \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence of the aforementioned pair of curves, $b_{0}$ and $T_{b_{4}}\left(b_{0}\right)$, we observe that the geodesic triangle formed with
vertices $b_{0}, b_{4}$ and $T_{b_{4}}\left(b_{0}\right)$ is 1-hyperbolic. This leads to the conclusion that geodesic triangles formed with vertices $a_{0}, a_{n}$ and $T_{a_{n}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)$ need not be 0-hyperbolic for all values of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This leads to the following prospective question that was suggested by Joan Birman:

QUESTION 6. Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{n}$ be a pair of curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d\left(a_{0}, a_{n}\right)=n$. Let $\Delta$ be the geodesic triangle in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with vertices $a_{0}, a_{n}$ and $T_{a_{n}}\left(a_{0}\right)$. What is the minimum value of $\delta$ for which $\Delta$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic?


## Chapter 2

In this chapter, we introduce definitions and theorems that will be used in this thesis. Since our work involves only closed surfaces, our definitions and theorems are tailored accordingly. For the analogous definitions involving surfaces of finite type, see [9]. This chapter doesn't contain any original work of the author.

### 2.1. Surfaces

A surface is a real 2-manifold. In this thesis we will consider only closed, oriented surfaces. By the classification of surfaces theorem, any closed, connected and oriented surface is homeomorphic to the connected sum of a 2-dimensional sphere with $g \geq 0$ tori. Here $g$ is called the genus of the surface. We will denote a surface with genus $g$ by $S_{g}$. The Euler-characteristic of $S_{g}$ comes out to be $2-2 g$ and it is a homeomorphic invariant of $S_{g}$. For the purpose of this thesis, we will consider that $g \geq 2$. We insist on this restriction on $g$ as the geometry of the sphere and the torus is different and relatively well explored for our purpose.

### 2.2. Curves

A curve on $S_{g}$ is an embedding of the unit circle into $S_{g}$. A curve on $S_{g}$ is called essential if it is not null-homotopic. Throughout the thesis, by a curve on $S_{g}$, we will mean essential curves on $S_{g}$. For any curve $\gamma$ on $S_{g},[\gamma]$ is used to denote the isotopy class of $\gamma$ on $S_{g}$. Let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be two curves on $S_{g}$ in transverse position. The geometric intersection number of $\mu, \lambda$ is denoted as $i(\mu, \lambda)$ and is given as

$$
i(\mu, \lambda)=\min \{|a \cap b|: a \in[\mu], b \in[\lambda]\}
$$

We say that curves, $\mu$ and $\lambda$, are in minimal position if $\mu$ and $\lambda$ intersect at $i(\mu, \lambda)$ points. A bigon is said to have been formed by $\mu$ and $\lambda$ if an embedded disc
in $S_{g}$ is enclosed by the union of two arcs, one from $\mu$ and the other from $\lambda$. For any given pair of curves, the following bigon criteria gives an algorithm to figure out representatives that are in minimal positions.

Fact 1. (The Bigon Criterion) Two transverse curves on $S_{g}$ are in minimal position if and only if they do not form any bigons.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 1.7].
FACT 2. (Existence of minimal representatives) Given $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$ are curves in $S_{g}$ which are pairwise in minimal position and nonisotopic. Then any curve $\mu_{k+1}$ on $S_{g}$ has a representative that is in minimal position with $\mu_{i}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$.

Thus, as is the common practice in this subject whenever we consider a collection of curves on $S_{g}$, we will consider isotopic representatives of these curves which are in minimal position with each other.

We say that $\mu$ and $\lambda$ forms a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$ if the components of $S_{g} \backslash(\mu \cup \lambda)$ are topological discs. If $\mu$ and $\lambda$ fill $S_{g}$ then $S_{g}$ can also be considered as a 2 dimensional CW-complex in the following manner : The 0 skeleton comprises of the distinct points in $\mu \cap \lambda$. The edge set comprises of the arcs of $\mu \backslash \lambda$ and $\lambda \backslash \mu$. The faces comprises of the discs in $S_{g} \backslash(\mu \cup \lambda)$.

### 2.3. Mapping Class Group

The mapping class group of $S_{g}$ is the group of isotopy classes of orientationpreserving homeomorphisms of $S_{g}$. We denote this group by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Figure 1 and 2 give examples of finite order mapping classes. Both these examples can be generalised to obtain elements of $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by considering the analogous rigid motions of $S_{g}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.


Figure 1. Rotating $S_{3}$ about the central axis by $\pi$ gives an order 2 mapping class in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{3}\right)$ known as the hyperelliptic involution.

We now look at a class of infinite order mapping classes which were introduced by Max Dehn.


Figure 2. Rotating $S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$ about the centre by $\frac{2 \pi}{5}$ gives order 5 mapping classes in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{5}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{6}\right)$ respectively.

### 2.4. Dehn Twists

Consider the annulus, $A=S^{1} \times[0,1]$ and define $T: A \longrightarrow A$ as $(\theta, r) \mapsto$ $(\theta+2 \pi r, r)$. The action of $T$ is called as "right twist" and by replacing $(\theta+2 \pi r)$ by $(\theta-2 \pi r)$, a "left twist" is obtained.


Figure 3. Annular and cylindrical view of the action of $T$.
Let $\alpha$ be a curve in $S_{g}$. Let $N$ be an annular neighbourhood of $\alpha$ and $\phi: A \longrightarrow$ $N$ be an orientation preserving homeomorphism. Then, the Dehn twist about $\alpha$, $T_{\alpha}: S_{g} \longrightarrow S_{g}$ is defined as

$$
T_{\alpha}(x)= \begin{cases}\phi \circ T \circ \phi^{-1}(x) & x \in N \\ x & x \notin N\end{cases}
$$

The action of $T_{\alpha}$ on $S$ can be interpreted as "T acting on N" and keeping $S_{g} \backslash N$ fixed. The mapping class, $T_{\alpha}$, is well-defined upto isotopy for the isotopy class of $\alpha$.

Let $\lambda, \mu$ be curves on $S_{g}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If $i(\mu, \lambda)=0$, we have that $T_{\mu}^{p}(\lambda)=\lambda$. If $i(\mu, \lambda) \neq 0$, can obtain a picture of $T_{\mu}^{p}(\lambda)$ by performing a surgery of curves described as follows. Suppose $k=i(\mu, \lambda)$. Draw $p k$ distinct and parallel copies of $\mu$ say, $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{p k}$ on $S_{g}$ which are in minimal position with $\lambda$. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, p k\}$, at each point of $\mu_{i} \cap \lambda$, perform the surgery of curves as in figure 5. Performing this surgery gives a representative of $T_{\mu}^{p}(\lambda)$ on $S_{g}$. Details of this surgery can be found in [9, page 70].


Figure 4. Action of $T_{\alpha}$ on the pink curve.


Figure 5. Surgery of curves performed to obtain $T_{\mu}(\lambda)$.

The following are a few facts about Dehn twists that are necessary for the work in this thesis.

FACT 3. Let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be curves on $S_{g}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
i\left(T_{\mu}^{p}(\lambda), \lambda\right)=|p|(i(\mu, \lambda))^{2}
$$

Proof. See [9, Proposition 3.2]
FACT 4. Let $\mu$ be a curve on $S_{g}$. Then $T_{\mu}$ is an infinite order mapping class.
Proof. Corollary of Fact 3.
Theorem 1. (Dehn-Lickorish theorem) $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is generated by finitely many Dehn twists about non-separating curves.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 4.1].

### 2.5. Curve graph

The curve graph of $S_{g}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is a 1 dimensional simplical complex defined as follows : the 0 -skeleton is in one-to-one correspondence with isotopy
classes of essential simple closed curves on $S_{g}$. Two vertices span an edge in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ if and only if these vertices have mutually disjoint representatives. By an excusable abuse of notation, for any curve $\gamma$ on $S_{g}$ we will use $\gamma$ to denote the curve as well its isotopy class whenever the context is clear.

FACT 5. $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is a connected graph.
Proof. See [9, Theorem 4.3].
By virtue of fact 5 , we can make $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ into a path-metric space. We define the distance, $d$, between any two vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ as the minimum of lengths of all the paths between these two vertices.

FACT 6. $\left(\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right), d\right)$ is a $\delta$-hyperbolic space.
Proof. See [19].
Fact 7. Let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be curves on $S_{g}$. Then, $d(\mu, \lambda) \geq 3$ if and only if $\mu$ and $\lambda$ fill $S_{g}$.

Proof. If $\mu$ and $\lambda$ fill then $i(\mu, \lambda) \neq 0$ and also, there doesn't exist any curve $c$ on $S_{g}$ that is disjoint from both $\mu$ and $\lambda$. Thus, $d(\mu, \lambda)$ can't be 1 or 2 . Hence, $d(\mu, \lambda) \geq 3$.

Suppose $\mu$ and $\lambda$ don't fill $S_{g}$. If $i(\mu, \lambda)=0$, then $d(\mu, \lambda)=1$. If $i(\mu, \lambda) \neq 0$, then by the classification of surfaces theorem there exists a non-disc, non-annular component of $S_{g} \backslash(\mu \cup \lambda)$. Considering a curve in this particular component gives a distance 2 path between $\mu$ and $\lambda$. Thus, $d(\mu, \lambda)<3$.

### 2.6. Minimal intersection number

For a given distance $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and genus $g, i_{\min }(g, n)$ is the quantity defined as

$$
i_{\min }(g, n)=\min \{i(\alpha, \beta): d(\alpha, \beta)=n\}
$$

Since any two curves on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ which are at a distance 1 apart are disjoint, we have that $i_{\min }(g, 1)=0$. By the classification of surfaces theorem, we can always find curves which intersect once and don't fill $S_{g}$. Thus, such curves are at a distance 2 apart on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and hence, $i_{\text {min }}(g, 2)=1$. By Euler characteristic considerations the theoretical minimum for $i_{\text {min }}(g, 3)$ is $2 g-1$. In [4], the Aougab and Taylor proved that for $g \geq 3, i_{\min }(g, 3)=2 g-1$ and $i_{\min }(2,3)=4$. For showing $i_{\min }(g, 3)=$ $2 g-1$, they used the list of minimally intersecting filling pairs of curves given by Aougab and Huang in [3]. In [10], the authors using the MICC software showed that $i_{\min }(2,4)=12$ by listing all minimally intersecting pairs of curves at distance 4 . In [20], the author provides a pair of distance 4 curves on $S_{3}$ with intersection number 21. Thus, $i_{\min }(3,4) \leq 21$. In [4], Aougab and Taylor proved that $i_{\min }(g, 4)=O\left(g^{2}\right)$
by answering a more general question by Dan Margalit that $i_{\text {min }}(g, n)=O\left(g^{n-2}\right)$. With this information, the following questions still remains open :

Question 7. What is $i_{\min }(g, 4)$ for $g \geq 3$ ?
In general,
Question 8 . What is $i_{\min }(g, n)$ for $n \geq 5$ ?

### 2.7. Subsurface projection

We briefly define subsurface projections and state the bounded geodesic theorem which were introduced in detail by Masur and Minsky in [19]. Let $Y$ be an isotopy class of an incompressible, non-peripheral, connected proper open subsurface of $S_{g}$ which is not an annulus. An arc in $Y$ is a homotopy class of properly embedded paths in $Y$ which cannot be deformed to a point. We define the arc complex of $Y, \mathcal{A}(Y)$ as : the set of vertices comprises of arcs and curves in $Y$ and any two vertex share an edge if they are disjoint. Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(S_{g}\right)$ denote the vertex set of $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$, respectively. Corresponding to a set $X$, we use $\mathcal{P}(X)$ to denote the set of finite subsets of $X$. We define the following two functions :

- $\psi_{Y}: \mathcal{A}_{0}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}(Y)\right)$ such that
- if $\alpha$ is a curve on $Y, \psi(\alpha)=\{\alpha\}$
- if $\alpha$ is an arc on $Y, \psi(\alpha)$ are the boundary curves of a neighbourhood of $\alpha \cup \partial(Y)$
- $\pi_{Y}^{\prime}: \mathcal{C}_{0}\left(S_{g}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}(Y)\right)$ such that
- if $\alpha \cap Y=\phi, \pi_{Y}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\phi$
$-\pi_{Y}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ is otherwise the set of all the essential $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $Y \cap \alpha$.
We define the subsurface projection $\pi_{Y}$ by $\pi_{Y}: \mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}(Y)\right), \alpha \mapsto$ $\psi_{Y}\left(\pi_{Y}^{\prime}(\alpha)\right)$. Let $d_{Y}$ be a metric on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ such that $d_{Y}(v, w)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\pi_{Y}(v), \pi_{Y}(w)\right)$.

Suppose $Y$ is an annular subsurface in $S_{g}$ whose core curve, $\gamma$, is non-trivial. Let $\hat{Y}$ be the natural compactification of the annular cover of $S_{g}$ such that $Y$ lifts to this cover homeomorphically. Such a compactification is obtained by equipping $S_{g}$ with a choice of hyperbolic metric. We define the curve graph corresponding to $Y, \mathcal{C}(Y)$, as follows : the set of vertices, $\mathcal{C}_{0}(Y)$, comprises of paths with end points on the boundary component of $\hat{Y}$, modulo end points fixing homotopies. Any two vertices share an edge if they have disjoint interiors. The subsurface projection $\pi_{Y}$ from $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(S_{g}\right)$ to $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}(Y)\right)$ : If $\beta \cap \gamma=\phi, \pi_{Y}(\beta)=\phi$. Otherwise, $\pi_{Y}(\beta)$ consists of the lifts of arcs of $\beta \cap Y$ in $\hat{Y}$ with well-defined end points on the distinct components of $\partial(\hat{Y})$. We define $d_{\alpha}$ analogous to $d_{X}$ where $X$ is a non-annular subsurface.

The bounded geodesic theorem was discovered and proved by Masur and Minsky in [19]. The version of this theorem stated below is given by Webb in [27].

Theorem 2 (Bounded geodesic theorem). There is an $M \geq 0$ so that for $S_{g}$ and any geodesic $g$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$, if each vertex of $g$ meets the subsurface $Y$, then $\operatorname{diam}\left(\pi_{Y}(g)\right) \leq M$.

### 2.8. Efficient geodesics in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$

A fundamental hindrance while studying $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is that there are infinitely many distinct vertices adjacent to any vertex in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. This pathological property of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is commonly referred to as its local-infinitude. In [19], the authors circumvented this local infinitude of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by defining a set of geodesics called the tight geodesics in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. They proved that between any two vertices of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ there are only finitely many tight geodesics. Similar notions to consider special classes of geodesics have been developed in $[\mathbf{2 4}],[\mathbf{2 5}],[\mathbf{2 6}]$ and [5] to overcome the local infinitude of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and compute distances between any two vertices. The algorithm in [5] is by far the most effective in calculating small distances in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

Consider a geodesic, $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ of length $N \geq 3$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. An arc, $\omega$ in $S$ is a reference arc for the triple $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{N}$ if $\omega$ and $\nu_{1}$ are in minimal position and the interior of $\omega$ is disjoint from $\nu_{0} \cup \nu_{N}$. Such arcs were considered by Leasure in [15]. The authors of [5] define the following concept of efficient geodesics in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ and prove that there exists finitely many initially efficient geodesic between any two vertices of $\mathcal{C}(S)$. The oriented geodesic $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ is said to be initially efficient if $i\left(\nu_{1}, \omega\right) \leq N-1$ for all choices of reference arc, $\omega$. Finally, the geodesic $\nu_{0}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ is efficient if the oriented geodesic $\nu_{k}, \ldots, \nu_{N}$ is initially efficient for each $0 \leq k \leq N-3$ and the oriented geodesic $\nu_{N}, \nu_{N-1}, \nu_{N-2}, \nu_{N-3}$ is also initially efficient. The following theorem says that between any two vertices in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ there are finitely many efficient geodesics.

ThEOREM 3. If $v$ and $w$ are vertices of $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with $d(v, w) \geq 3$, then there exists an efficient geodesic from $v$ to $w$. Further, there is an explicitly computable list of at most $n^{6 g-6}$ vertices $v_{1}$ that can appear as the first vertex on an initially efficient geodesic

$$
v=v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}=w
$$

In particular, there are finitely many efficient geodesics from $v$ to $w$.
Proof. See [5, Theorem 1.1].
The following theorem from [10] gives a criterion for detecting vertices in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ at distance at-least 4. This criteria is based on the results proved in [5] which involves the efficient geodesics.

THEOREM 4. For the filling pair, $\kappa$, $\omega$, let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}^{0}(S)$ be the collection of all vertices such that the following hold:


Figure 6. $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}, Q_{4}$ is an example of an initially efficient geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$.
(1) for $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma, d(\kappa, \bar{\gamma})=1$; and
(2) for $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$; for each segment, $b \subset \omega \backslash \kappa, i(\bar{\gamma}, b) \leq 1$.

Then $d(\kappa, \omega) \geq 4$ if and only if $d(\bar{\gamma}, \omega) \geq 3$ for all $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$.
Proof. See [10, Theorem 1.3].

## CHAPTER 3

 _SETUP

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$. The goal of this chapter is to pick nice enough representatives for $T_{\beta}(\alpha)$ w.r.t. the representatives of $\alpha, \beta$ and their annular neighbourhoods. In section 3.1 we pick suitable representatives for $\alpha$, $\beta$ and their corresponding annular neighbourhoods. In section 3.2, we describe the arcs of $T_{\beta}(\alpha)$ in the components common to the chosen annular neighbourhoods of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Finally, in section 3.3, we show that $\alpha$ and $T_{\beta}^{p}(\alpha)$ fill $S_{g}$, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

This chapter comprises of results from $[\mathbf{1 7}$, Section 2] and [17, Step 1, Section 3].

For any ordered index in this thesis, we follow cyclical ordering. For instance, if $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, i=k+1$ will indicate $i=1$.

### 3.1. Amenable to Dehn twist in special position

Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two simple closed curves on $S_{g}, R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ be closed regular neighbourhoods of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively. We choose $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ to be nice enough as described in the following definition and follow the algorithm in [9] to obtain $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. However, our representative of the isotopy class of $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is chosen such that $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is linear in the components of $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$.

Definition 1. Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two simple closed curves on $S_{g}$ and let $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ be closed regular neighbourhoods of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively. We say that the 4-tuple ( $\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}$ ) is amenable to Dehn twist in special position if the following hold:
(1) $\lambda$ and $\mu$ intersect transversely and minimally on $S_{g}$,
(2) $\lambda$ and $\mu$ fill $S_{g}$,
(3) the number of components of $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$ is equal to the intersection number of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ and each of these components is a disc.
(4) $\mu$ and $\lambda$ are in minimal position with the components of $\partial\left(R_{\lambda}\right)$ and $\partial\left(R_{\mu}\right)$, respectively.

Let $\lambda$ and $\mu$ be two minimally and transversely intersecting simple closed curves which fill $S_{g}$. In the following, we show that there exist closed regular neighborhoods $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ respectively such that the 4 -tuple $\left(\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}\right)$ is amenable to Dehn twist in special position. Consider a closed regular neighborhood, $R_{\lambda}$, of $\lambda$. The two components of $\partial R_{\lambda}$ are disjoint simple closed curves each of which is isotopic to $\lambda$ on $S_{g}$. Since $\mu$ intersects $\lambda$ transversely, we can assume that $\mu$ intersects the closed annulus $R_{\lambda}$ in essential arcs which are not boundary reducible. So, the number of these arcs will be precisely as many as the intersection number of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. We can take small closed regular neighborhoods of these arcs of $\mu \cap R_{\lambda}$ in $R_{\lambda}$ such that each such neighborhood is a rectangular disk, the length of which runs parallel to the arcs of $\mu$ and the two breadth lines of which lie on the boundary curves of $R_{\lambda}$ with each breadth line lying on a different component of $\partial R_{\lambda}$. The number of these disks is precisely the intersection number of $\lambda$ and $\mu$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$, $\ldots, r_{k}$ denote these discs. For $i \in\{1, \ldots k\}$, we call any component of $r_{i} \cap \partial R_{\lambda}$ as the breadth line of $r_{i}$. Now we extend $r_{i}$ 's into $S_{g} \backslash R_{\lambda}$ to form $R_{\mu}$. To do this, we take a disks-with-handles presentation, $\Sigma$, of $S_{g} \backslash R_{\lambda}$. $\Sigma$ is homeomorphic to $S_{g-1,2}$, via a homeomorphism $\phi$, where each of the two boundary components of $\Sigma$ is an image of each of the boundary component $\partial R_{\lambda}$ via $\phi$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a maximal collection of properly embedded essential arcs that are pairwise non-parallel in $\Sigma$. The image under $\phi$ of the closure of each arc of $\mu$ contained in the complement of the annulus $R_{\lambda}$ is an essential arc in $\Sigma$. These arcs cut $\Sigma$ into disks because $\lambda$ and $\mu$ fill $S_{g}$. These arcs of $\phi(\mu)$ can be assumed to be a disjoint collection of arcs, each of which is parallel to exactly one of the $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $\mathcal{A}$. We now take small closed regular neighborhoods of these $k$ arcs in $\Sigma$, call them $s_{1}, s_{2} \ldots, s_{k}$, such that these $s_{i}$ 's are mutually disjoint. Now, $\phi^{-1}$ of these $s_{i}$ 's glue to $r_{j}$ 's in some order along the breadth lines of $r_{j}$ 's by suitably adjusting the breadth of $r_{j}$ 's to give a regular neighbourhood of $\mu, R_{\mu}$. This completes the construction of $R_{\mu}$ as required. Note that $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$ are the disks of intersection of $R_{\lambda}$ and $R_{\mu}$ by construction and their number is equal to the intersection number of $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

### 3.2. Discs of transformation

Consider a 4-tuple $\left(\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}\right)$ which is amenable to Dehn twist in special position. Let $i(\lambda, \mu)=k$ and $K:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. We construct a curve in the isotopy class of $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ which we call $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ in special position w.r.t. the 4-tuple


Figure 1. The figure to the left depicts $A_{1}$ and the figure to the right depicts a possible $A_{i}$.
$\left(\lambda, \mu, R_{\lambda}, R_{\mu}\right)$. Start at any one of the components of $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$ and label it as $A_{1}$. Since $\mu$ intersects $\lambda$ transversely, the arc $\mu_{1}$ of $\mu$ contained in $A_{1}$ which has its endpoints $X$ and $Y$ on boundary arcs of $R_{\lambda}$ is such that $X$ and $Y$ lie on distinct boundary components of $\partial R_{\lambda}$. We call the component of $\partial R_{\lambda}$ containing $X$ to be $\partial_{+} R_{\lambda}$ and the other component containing $Y$ to be $\partial_{-} R_{\lambda}$. Equip $A_{1}$ with the Euclidean metric such that it is a square in the $x y$ - plane. Two opposite sides of $A_{1}$ are formed from the arcs of $\partial R_{\lambda}$ and the two remaining sides are formed from arcs of $\partial R_{\mu}$. The $x$-axis lies along $\mu_{1}$ and the value of the $x$-coordinate increases from $X$ to $Y$. Orient $\mu_{1}$ from $X$ to $Y$. This induces an orientation on $\mu$. Next we pick $k$ distinct points $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k}\right\}$ in the interior of $\mu_{1}$ such that the $x$-coordinate of $q_{i}$ is greater than the $x$ coordinate of $q_{j}$ whenever $i>j$ and $i, j \in K$. For each $i \in K$, let $\lambda_{i}$ be a curve in $R_{\lambda}$ which is isotopic to $\lambda$ and passes through $q_{i}$. Further for each $i, j \in K, i \neq j$ let $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ be disjoint.

Orient $\lambda_{1}$ such that the $y$-coordinate on $\lambda_{1}$ increases when following this orientation in the disk $A_{1}$. Starting with $A_{1}$, label the subsequent disk components, $R_{\lambda} \cap R_{\mu}$, as $A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots, A_{k}$, in the orientation of $\lambda_{1}$. For each $i \in K, A_{i}$ contains a unique arc of $\mu$ which we label as $\mu_{i}$. $\mu_{i}$ gets an induced orientation from $\mu$. For each $i \in K$, equip $A_{i}$ with Euclidean metric and assume it to be a square in the $x y$-plane where $\mu_{i}$ lies along the $x$-axis with the $x$ coordinate increasing along the orientation of $\mu_{i}$. Assume $A_{i}$ to be positioned such that $\mu_{i}$ is the line segment joining the mid-points of the left and right sides of the square. In this orientation, call the component of $\partial R_{\mu}$ which appears above $\mu_{i}$ as $\partial_{+} R_{\mu}$ and the the component of $\partial R_{\mu}$ below $\mu_{i}$ as $\partial_{-} R_{\mu}$. However, note that the side of $A_{i}$ which is formed from the $\operatorname{arcs}$ of $\partial_{+} R_{\lambda}$ could either be to the right or to the left of this square. Accordingly, the side of $A_{i}$ which is formed from the arcs of $\partial_{-} R_{\lambda}$ could either be to the left or to the right of this square. For $i, j \in K$, by an isotopy inside $A_{i}$, we can assume that all the arcs of $\lambda_{j}$ in $A_{i}$ are straight lines.


Figure 2. Disk of transformation before (figure on the left) and after (figure on the right) the Dehn twist

For each $i, j \in K$, let $u_{i, j}:=A_{i} \cap \lambda_{j} \cap \partial_{+} R_{\mu}$ and $v_{i, j}:=A_{i} \cap \lambda_{j} \cap \partial_{-} R_{\mu}$. Also for each $i \in K$ let the left end point of $\mu_{i}$ in the square $A_{i}$ be $v_{i, 0}$ and the right end point of $\mu_{i}$ in the square $A_{i}$ be $u_{i, k+1}$. Construct the Dehn twist of $\mu$ about $\lambda$ as follows: For each $j \in K \cup\{0\}$ draw line segments, $\theta_{i, j}$, connecting $v_{i, j}$ to $u_{i, j+1}$. $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is the curve

$$
\left(\left(\mu \cup\left(\cup_{i \in K} \lambda_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(S_{g} \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in K} A_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\cup_{i, j \in K} \theta_{i, j}\right)\right.
$$

The schematic, Figure 2, shows $A_{i}$ before and after this transformation. In the complement of $A_{i}$ 's the transformation described above does not disturb the curves $\lambda_{i}$ 's and $\mu$. In the previous chapter, an algorithm to obtain the Dehn twist, $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ has been described such that the curves in the discs of transformation are as in Figure 3. The line segments in Figure 2 are isotopic to the corresponding curves in 3 which shows that the above transformation indeed results in $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. When $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is constructed as above and as shown in Figure 2, we say that $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is in special position w.r.t. $\lambda$ and $\mu$. We call the $k$ copies of $\lambda, \lambda_{i}, i \in K$, and $\mu$ to be the scaffolding for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ and denote it by $\left(\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in K}, \mu\right)$. We call the Euclidean disks $A_{i}, i \in K$, along with the line segments $\theta_{i, j}$ 's for $j \in K$ to be the disks of transformation for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$. The points $u_{i, j}$ 's, $v_{i, j}$ 's, $u_{i, k+1}$ and $v_{i, 0}$ for $i, j \in K$ shall hold their meaning as defined in the context of the disks of transformations. So, using these phrases, when $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ is in special position w.r.t. $\lambda$ and $\mu$, the scaffolding of $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$ remains unchanged outside its disks of transformation. Inside the disks of transformation for $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$, the schematic in Figure 2 describes the changes to its scaffolding.

### 3.3. Filling pairs of curves using Dehn twists

THEOREM 5. Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$. Then, $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ also fills $S_{g}$.


Figure 3. Surgery of the curves to obtain $T_{\lambda}(\mu)$


Figure 4. The scaffolding for $T_{Q_{1}}\left(Q_{4}\right)$, where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{4}$ are from example in figure 6 and the shaded region is a rectangle of the scaffolding

Proof. Let $i(\gamma, \alpha)=k, K:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, K_{-1}:=\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $K_{2-2 g}:=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, k+2-2 g\}$. We prove the theorem for $p=1$. For $p>1$, the proof remains as it is with just the arguments for $k$ copies of $\gamma$ replaced by $p k$ copies of $\gamma$. The terminologies in the previous section can be adjusted accordingly to account for the $p k$ copies of $\gamma$ instead of $k$ copies of $\gamma$. This is because the following proof relies on the idea of the surgery of curves, $\alpha$ and copies of $\gamma$, to obtain $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. And the surgery to obtain $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ is similar to this surgery.

Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ fill $S_{g}$, there is a 4 -tuple $\left(\alpha, \gamma, R_{\alpha}, R_{\gamma}\right)$ which is amenable to Dehn twist in special position. Let $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ be in special position w.r.t to $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. We denote the disks of transformation of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ by $A_{i}$ for $i \in K$. By an isotopy we assume the curve $\alpha$ to be disjoint from $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \backslash A_{i}$ for $i \in K$ and in each $A_{i}$ we further assume the arc $\alpha_{i}:=\alpha \cap A_{i}$ to be a straight line segment below the segment connecting $v_{i, 0}$ and $u_{i, k+1}$ (below $\mu_{i}$ in Figure 2).

Let $\left(\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in K}, \alpha\right)$ be the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. For $j \in K_{-1}$, one of the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(g_{j} \cup g_{j+1}\right)$ is an annulus, $G_{j}$. The core curve of the annuli $G_{j}$ is isotopic in $S_{g}$ to $\lambda$. Any component of $G_{j} \backslash \alpha$ is a 4-gon which we call as a rectangle


Figure 5. The shaded portion represent the portions of $B$ along two edges corresponding to $\gamma$ in $F_{p}$. The complement of the shaded portion in $F_{p}$ is $F_{p}^{\prime}$.
of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Figure 4 shows an example of such a rectangle of the scaffolding. The disks $A_{i}, i \in K$, further divide each rectangle of the scaffolding into three components. There is a unique $i \in K$ such that $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ intersect a given rectangle of the scaffolding. Denote a rectangle of the scaffolding formed out of $G_{j}$ with its arcs of $\alpha$ lying in $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ by $B_{i, j}$. Denote the sub-rectangles $B_{i, j} \cap A_{i}$, by $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $B_{i, j} \cap A_{i+1}$, by $C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$. Also let $B_{i, j}^{\prime}:=B_{i, j} \backslash\left(C_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Let

$$
B=\cup_{i=1}^{k} \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_{i, j} .
$$

$S_{g} \backslash(\alpha \cup \gamma)$ has $k+2-2 g$ disk components by Euler characteristic considerations. If $F_{p}$ is a disk component of $S_{g} \backslash(\alpha \cup \gamma)$, for some $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, then $F_{p}^{\prime}:=F_{p} \backslash B$ is a single disk as $B$ intersects any $F_{p}$ only in disks which contain a boundary arc of $F_{p}$, namely arcs of $\gamma$. Figure 5 is a schematic of possible portions of $B$ in $F_{p}$. The components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ comprise of $k(k-1)$ rectangles of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$, namely $B_{i, j}$ where $i \in K, j \in K_{-1}$, and $k+2-2 g$ even sided polygonal discs, namely $F_{p}^{\prime}$, where $p \in K_{2-2 g}$. Let $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ denote $F_{p}^{\prime} \backslash R_{\alpha}$ for $p \in K_{2-2 g}$.

For each $j \in K$ let $w_{i, j}:=\theta_{i, j} \cap \alpha_{i}$. For each $i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$, let $D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$ be the parallelogram with vertices $v_{i, j}, v_{i, j+1}, w_{i, j}$ and $w_{i, j+1}$ and $D_{i, j+1}^{\prime}$ be the parallelogram with vertices $w_{i, j}, w_{i, j+1}, u_{i, j+1}, u_{i, j+2}$. In each disk $A_{i}$, for $i \in K$, there is a pentagon, $P_{i, 1}$, which is above $\alpha_{i}$ and bounded by the lines $\theta_{i, 0}, \partial R_{\gamma}$, $\alpha_{i}, \theta_{i, 1}$ and the line segment of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, 1}$ and $u_{i, 2}$. Likewise, in each disk $A_{i}$, for $i \in K$, there is a triangle, $T_{i, k+1}$, which is bounded by the lines $\alpha_{i}, \theta_{i, k}$ and $\partial R_{\gamma}$. Figure 6 shows a schematic before and after the transformation to the disk $A_{i}$; the figure to the left shows the rectangles $C_{i, 1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i, k}^{\prime \prime}$ and the figure on the right shows $P_{i, 1}$ and $T_{i, k+1}$.


Figure 6. The disk of transformation for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ : the figure on the left shows the portion of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$; the figure on the right shows the pentagon $P_{i, 1}$, the triangle $T_{i, k+1}$ and the parallelograms formed due to $\alpha_{i}$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$


Figure 7. A schematic of $R_{\alpha}$ (figure on the left) and after (figure on the right) the Dehn twist

Figure 7 shows a schematic of $R_{\alpha}$ before and after the transformation to the scaffolding of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. The shaded region in the figure on the left shows $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i, j-1}^{\prime \prime}$ for some indices $i, j$. The shaded region in the figure on the right shows $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $D_{i, j-1}^{\prime \prime}$ for some indices $i, j$.

For $i \in K$, note that all the disks $A_{i}$, occur in some sequence in the annulus $R_{\alpha}$ when moving along $\alpha$. So, a disk $A_{i}$ is connected to some disk $A_{j}$ on the left and to some other disk $A_{p}$ on the right by a single arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}$, for some distinct indices $i, j, p \in K$. The schematic for two disks $A_{i}$ and $A_{j}$, for some $i, j \in K$, which are connected via a single arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}$ and an $\operatorname{arc}$ of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \backslash R_{\gamma}$ is as shown in the Figure 8. Note that this schematic is generic since for every $j \in K$, there is a distinct $i \in K$ such that $A_{j}$ occurs to the left of $A_{i}$, in the sense mentioned above.

Figure 8 is a schematic of a portion of Figure 7 in which the following are the possibilities of how the edges corresponding to $\partial\left(R_{\gamma}\right)$ of the adjacent discs of transformation match up, namely $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ face each other, $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ face each other or $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ face each other. In this schematic, we see that the pentagon $P_{i, 1}$ of the disk $A_{i}$ is connected to the triangle $T_{j, k+1}$ of $A_{j}$ via an arc of $\alpha \backslash R_{\gamma}, \omega_{i, j}$, and an arc of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \eta_{i, j}$. The disk, $R_{i, j}$ outside $R_{\gamma}$ bounded


Figure 8. Two adjacent disks of transformation in $R_{\alpha}$
by $\omega_{i, j}, \eta_{i, j}$ and two arcs of $\partial R_{\gamma}$, will be called a conduit. Equip the conduit with the Euclidean metric and assume that $R_{i, j}$ is a rectangle with two opposite sides $\omega_{i, j}$ and $\eta_{i, j}$. Now $P_{i, 1} \cup R_{i, j} \cup T_{j, k+1}$ is a 4 -gon bounded by four arcs viz. (i) $\theta_{i, 0} \cup \eta_{i, j} \cup \theta_{j, k}$, (ii) $\alpha_{j} \cup \omega_{i, j} \cup \alpha_{i}$, (iii) $\theta_{i, 1}$ and (iv) the arc of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, 1}$ and $u_{i, 2}$. This protracted 4 -gon will be denoted by $D_{i, 1}^{\prime}$.

Let $S^{\prime}=S_{g} \backslash R_{\alpha}$. The components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and the components of $R_{\alpha} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ glued at the boundary of $R_{\alpha}$. Since the changes to the scaffolding of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is restricted to $R_{\alpha}$, the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ are precisely the disc components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\left(g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$.

The components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\left(g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ are $B_{i, j}^{\prime}, i \in\{1,2 \ldots k\}, j \in K_{-1}$, along with disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}, p \in K_{2-2 g}$, as explained above. The components of $R_{\alpha} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ will be examined using the schematic Figure 8 of a portion of $R_{\alpha}$. There are four kinds of regions in $R_{\alpha}$. The upper disk regions, like $R_{1}$ in the schematic Figure 8, the lower disk regions, like $R_{2}$ in the schematic Figure 8, and the disks $D_{i, j}^{\prime}, D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$, $i \in K, j \in K_{-1}$. Figure 8 shows how the upper and lower disk regions are glued to disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ for $p \in K_{2-2 g}$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, after gluing the lower disk regions and the upper disk regions to the respective disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$, we get disks which we denote by $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We know that $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a disk because the upper and the lower disk regions are disjoint, except for the points $w_{i, j}$ on the boundary and share a single arc of $\partial R_{\alpha}$ with a unique $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, we call $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ to be the modified disk corresponding to the initial disk $F_{p}$.

For each $i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$, the line segment of $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ between $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ is the common boundary of $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$. Likewise, for each such $i, j$, the line segment of $\partial_{-} R_{\alpha}$ between $v_{i, j} v_{i, j+1}$ is the common boundary of $C_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$ and $D_{i, j}^{\prime \prime}$. So, for such $i, j$, when considering the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$ the rectangular core $B_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is connected to $C_{i, j}^{\prime}$ along the boundary segment $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ and to $C_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ along the boundary segment $v_{i+1, j} v_{i+1, j+1}$, whereas when considering the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$, the rectangular core $B_{i, j}^{\prime}$ is connected to $D_{i, j}^{\prime}$ along the boundary segment $u_{i, j} u_{i, j+1}$ and $D_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ along the boundary segment $v_{i+1, j}$
$v_{i+1, j+1}$. So the rectangles of the scaffolding for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), B_{i, j}$, which are components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup g_{1} \cup \cdots \cup g_{k}\right)$, after the transformation in the disks of transformation for $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ result in disks $E_{i, j}:=B_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup D_{i, j}^{\prime} \cup D_{i+1, j}^{\prime \prime}$ which now are components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$. For each $p \in K_{2-2 g}, F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a disk as seen earlier. The components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are precisely the disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $E_{i, j}$ where $p \in K_{2-2 g}, i \in K$ and $j \in K_{-1}$. This proves that the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ are all disks and hence proving $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right) \geq 3$.

Thus, we have that $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ fills $S_{g}$.


## CHAPTER 4

## DISTANCE 4 CURVES ON $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$

In this chapter we produce examples of pairs of curves which are at a distance 4 apart on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ using Dehn twists and a pair of curves which are at a distance 3 apart. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be a pair of curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d(\alpha, \beta)=3$. In section 4.1, we label a few components of an annular neighbourhood of $\beta$ cut along $T_{\beta}(\alpha) \cup \alpha$. In section 4.2, we prove that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=4$, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Using this result, in subsection 4.2.1, we show that $i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$. In subsection 4.2 .2 , we prove that the geodesic we consider between $\alpha$ and $T_{\beta}(\alpha)$ is an initially efficient geodesic.

- Unless otherwise defined, we will adhere to the notations used in the previous chapters.


### 4.1. Terminology

Let $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$. Thus, $d(\alpha, \gamma) \geq 3$. Let $i(\gamma, \alpha)=k$, $K:=\{1,2, \ldots, k\}, K_{-1}:=\{1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $K_{2-2 g}:=\{1,2, \ldots, k+2-2 g\}$. Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ fill $S_{g}$, there is a 4-tuple $\left(\alpha, \gamma, R_{\alpha}, R_{\gamma}\right)$ which is amenable to Dehn twist in special position. Let $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ be in special position w.r.t to $\alpha$ and $\gamma$. We denote the disks of transformation of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ by $A_{i}$ for $i \in K$.

The components of $R_{\gamma} \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ are disks and their boundary consists of two arc segments of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and one each of $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$. We call these disks as rectangular tracks. The word tracks derives its motivation from how these tracks appear in $R_{\gamma}$. Figure 1 shows $R_{\gamma}$ and rectangular tracks inside $R_{\gamma}$.

Since $i(\alpha, \gamma)=k$, there are $k$ components of $\alpha \cap R_{\gamma}$. Every component of $\alpha \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is either contained in $R_{\gamma}$ or, has a sub-arc which is contained in $R_{\gamma}$. For any $i \in K, \alpha_{i}$ intersects the rectangular tracks.

Let $i_{0} \in K$. In the schematic Figure $6, A_{i_{0}}$ has exactly $k+1 \operatorname{arcs}$ of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Call $\theta_{i_{0}, 0}$ to be the leftmost arc of $A_{i_{0}}$ and $\theta_{i_{0}, k}$ to be the rightmost arc of $A_{i_{0}}$.


Figure 1. The rectangular tracks shown inside the annulus $R_{\gamma}$


Figure 2. A rectangular track $T_{i}$ along with $\operatorname{arcs}$ of $\alpha_{i}$ in it

Let us consider one component of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \cap R_{\gamma}$, call it $\rho_{i_{0}}$, which intersects $A_{i_{0}}$ in its leftmost arc. This $\rho_{i_{0}}$ intersects $A_{i_{0}}$ precisely in the arcs $\theta_{i_{0}, 0}$ and $\theta_{i_{0}, k}$ and it intersects $A_{j}$ for every $j \in K \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$ in the arcs $\theta_{j, m}$ where $m=\left(j-i_{0}\right)(\bmod k)$. We constructed $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ in special position w.r.t. $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ with the motivation that $\rho_{i_{0}}$ will intersect $A_{i_{0}}$ and $A_{j}$ in exactly these arcs.

From this discussion it is clear that $\rho_{i_{0}}$ intersects each $\alpha_{j}$, for $j \in K$, exactly once. It is also clear that, for $j \in K$, the points of $\rho_{i_{0}} \cap \alpha_{j}$ lie on $\rho_{i_{0}}$ in the order $\alpha_{i_{0}+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_{0}-1}, \alpha_{i_{0}}$ when $\rho_{i_{0}}$ is traversed from $\partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ to $\partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$. We now consider two arc components, $\rho_{i_{0}}$ and $\rho_{i_{0}+1}$, of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha) \cap R_{\gamma}$ and the rectangular track, $T_{i_{0}}$, which is enclosed by these two components in $R_{\gamma}$. We equip this rectangular track $T_{i_{0}}$ with the Euclidean metric so that the boundary $\operatorname{arcs} \rho_{i_{0}}, \rho_{i_{0}+1}$, and the arcs of $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial R_{\gamma}$ are all straight lines and so that $T_{i_{0}}$ is a rectangle. We refer to $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial_{+} R_{\gamma}$ as the left end of the rectangle and $T_{i_{0}} \cap \partial_{-} R_{\gamma}$ as the right end of this rectangular track. We can draw the arcs of $\alpha_{j}$, for $j \in K$, as straight line segments in the rectangular tracks $T_{i_{0}}$. Figure 2 shows a schematic of $T_{i}$ where $i \in K$.

From this schematic, at both the left and right end of this rectangular track $T_{i}$, $a_{i}$ is a common boundary to a triangle and a pentagon. We call $\alpha_{i}$ as the starting arc of this rectangular track $T_{i}$.

Figure 3 shows the two possible schematics of $A_{i}$ as pictured in $R_{\gamma}$.


Figure 3. $A_{i}$ shown inside $R_{\gamma}$ in the two possible ways : the figure on the left shows $\alpha_{i}$ oriented from top to bottom; the figure on the right shows $\alpha_{i}$ oriented from bottom to top

For either of the two possible cases observed in Figure 3, a portion of one of the two pentagons of $T_{i}$ appears in the $A_{i}$ which is between $\alpha_{i}$ and $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha_{i}$ is the starting arc of this track. We call this pentagon the upper pentagon of the rectangular track $T_{i}$, owing to the viewpoint that $\partial_{+} R_{\alpha}$ is the upper boundary of $R_{\alpha}$. A portion of the other pentagon of $T_{i}$ appears in $A_{i}$ which is between $\alpha_{i}$ and $\partial_{-} R_{\alpha}$. We call this pentagon the lower pentagon of the rectangular track. Likewise, we define the upper triangle and the lower triangle of a rectangular track $T_{i}$.

### 4.2. Distance 4 curves

THEOREM 6. If $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ be two curves on $S_{g}$ with $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$, then $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=$ 4.

Proof. Let $\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}$ be a geodesic from the vertex $\nu_{0}$ corresponding to $\alpha$ to the vertex $\nu_{3}$ corresponding to $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Let $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{0}\right)$ be the vertex in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ corresponding to $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. The existence of the path $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{0}\right), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{2}\right)=\nu_{2}, \nu_{1}$, $\nu_{0}$ gives that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \leq 4$

Let $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ as in the statement of the Theorem 4 . We prove that $d(\bar{\gamma}, \alpha) \geq 3$ by showing that $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S_{g}$. By Theorem 4, this will imply that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \geq 4$. We prove the theorem in 2 steps : in step 1 we perform an isotopy of $\bar{\gamma}$ such that the arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha$ in $R_{\gamma}$ replicate the arcs of $\gamma \backslash \alpha$. In step 2 we prove that any $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ fills $S_{g}$ with $\alpha$ and thus $d(\bar{\gamma}, \alpha) \geq 3$.
Step 1 : To prove that $\alpha$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ fill $S_{g}$ it suffices to show that there exists a nonempty, finite subset of arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha, \Upsilon$, such that $\left(S_{g} \backslash \alpha\right) \backslash \Upsilon$ are discs. We show the existence of $\Upsilon$ by carefully choosing an isotopic copy of $\bar{\gamma}$. We obtain this isotopic copy of $\bar{\gamma}$ by first performing an isotopy, $I_{1}$, a finite number of times such that the end points of each of the arcs of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ are essential and not boundary reducible.

We then define a second isotopy, $I_{2}$, which when performed a finite number of times will ensure that every intersection point of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ lie in $R_{\gamma}$. We then define an isotopy, $I_{3}$, called the normalization move, which proves that there is an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}_{0}$, such that the arcs $\bar{\gamma}_{0} \backslash \alpha$ act like the arcs of $\gamma \backslash \alpha$ in $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$.

It can be observed that $i(\bar{\gamma}, \alpha) \neq 0$ because if $\bar{\gamma}$ is disjoint from both $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ then we would get a path of length 2 , namely $\alpha, \bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Using the triangular inequality and the fact that $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ acts on $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ by isometries, we have that $d(\bar{\gamma}, \gamma) \geq d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \gamma\right)-d\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}(\gamma)\right)-d\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=3-1=$ 2. Thus, we also conclude that $i(\bar{\gamma}, \gamma) \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\gamma}$ intersects $\gamma$, it intersects $R_{\gamma}$. It cannot be completely contained in $R_{\gamma}$ because every simple closed curve contained in an annulus bounds a disk or is isotopic to the core curve of the annulus. Since neither of these is true, it follows that that $\bar{\gamma}$ intersects $R_{\gamma}$ in arcs. Since $i\left(\bar{\gamma}, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)=0$, each component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has to be completely contained in one of the rectangular tracks described by $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. Such a component arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ could either be boundary reducible or essential in $R_{\gamma}$.

We consider an isotopy $I_{1}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$, as follows: In the case that a component arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ is boundary reducible in $R_{\gamma}$, we can perform the boundary reduction of $\bar{\gamma}$ preserving its minimal intersection position with $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$. This is possible because an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ which is boundary reducible in $R_{\gamma}$ and is contained in the disk $T_{i}$ will bound a bigon with one boundary arc of $R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{i}$. Also, since $\bar{\gamma}$ was already in minimal intersection position with $\alpha$, it does not bound bigons with the arcs $\alpha_{j}$ inside $T_{i}$. Call the isotopy of $\bar{\gamma}$ which reduces all the boundary-reducible arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ as $I_{1}$. After the isotopy $I_{1}$, we can assume that all the arcs of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ are essential. We know that there is at-least one component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ which is an essential arc of $R_{\gamma}$ as $\bar{\gamma}$ cannot be disjoint from $R_{\gamma}$. By the hypothesis that $i(\bar{\gamma}, b) \leq 1$ for $b \subset \alpha \backslash T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ each rectangular track can contain at-most one component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$.

Next, we describe an isotopy $I_{2}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ such that all the points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ will lie inside $R_{\gamma}$ and so that no new boundary reducible arc components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ are introduced and $\bar{\gamma}$ 's minimal intersection position with $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is retained. To this end, suppose that a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ lies outside $R_{\gamma}$.

Following the construction of the disk $D_{i, 1}^{\prime}$ described above using Figure 8, we see that the upper pentagon of the rectangular track $T_{i}$ is connected to the upper triangle of the rectangular track $T_{j}$ via a conduit $R_{i, j}$ where $i, j \in K$ are such that $A_{j}$ is to the left of $A_{i}$ in $R_{\alpha}$ as in schematic 8.

If a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha, x_{0}$, lies outside $R_{\gamma}$, then it has to lie on $\omega_{i, j}$ for some $i$ and $j$ such that $i, j \in K, i \neq j$. We now refer to the dotted line in Figure 4. Since the intersection of $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ is transverse, an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$, call it $\delta$ lies on the two sides of the conduit $R_{i, j}$, one inside and one outside $R_{i, j}$. The endpoint $P$ of the arc $\delta$


Figure 4. The isotopy $I_{2}$ moving points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ into $R_{\gamma}$
inside $R_{i, j}$ is also the endpoint of some other arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ as $\bar{\gamma}$ is a closed curve. If $P$ connects to an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ lying in the upper triangular region of the track $T_{j}$, then an essential arc $\delta_{1}$ of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ lies in $T_{j}$ with its endpoint $Q$ on $\partial R_{\gamma}$ in the upper triangle of $T_{j}$ so that $\delta$, the arc $P Q$ and $\delta_{1}$ together form a bigon with $\alpha$ contradicting the minimal intersection position of $\bar{\gamma}$ with $\alpha$. So, $P$ connects to an arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in the upper pentagon in the track $T_{i}$ as is the dotted line in Figure 4. Consider an isotopy $I_{2}$ which slides the point $x_{0}$ onto $\alpha_{i}$. The image of the arc component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ which is in $T_{i}$, under $I_{2}$ has its endpoint in the lower triangle of $T_{i}$ and the image of $x_{0}$ lies in $R_{\gamma}$. A schematic for this isotopy $I_{2}$ is shown in Figure 4.

After finitely many such isotopies, we can now assume that all the points of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ lie inside $R_{\gamma}$. Now consider an isotopy $I_{3}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ as follows: If any of the components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has its endpoint on the boundary of the upper triangle of $T_{j}$, for some $j \in K$, then by the above discussion, $\bar{\gamma}$ cannot intersect $\omega_{i, j}$ or $\eta_{i, j}$, for some $i \in K$ such that the arcs of $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ forms the opposite sides of a conduit $R_{i, j}$. So $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{i, j}$ is an arc $M N$ which has its endpoints $M \in T_{j}$ and $N \in T_{i}$ on $\partial R_{\gamma}$. Further, since $\bar{\gamma}$ is a closed curve, $\bar{\gamma} \cap T_{i}$ is an arc with its endpoint as $N$ such that $N$ necessarily lies in the upper pentagon of $T_{i}$. Conversely, if any of the components of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has its endpoint, $z_{0}$, on the boundary of the upper pentagon of $T_{i}$, then it should be connected to an arc, $g$, of $\bar{\gamma}$ in the conduit $R_{i, j}$. Note that the endpoints, $z_{0}, z_{0}^{\prime}$ of $g$ are on $\partial R_{\gamma}$. There exists an arc component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ lying in $T_{j}$ such that $z_{0}^{\prime}$ is on the boundary of the upper triangle of $T_{j}$, as the dotted line in Figure 5 shows. If any such arc $g$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ exists, consider an isotopy, $I_{3}$, of $g$ such that the image, $I_{3}(g)$, lies outside $R_{i, j}$. A schematic of this is Figure 5.

The component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{j}$ now has an endpoint on the boundary of the lower pentagon of $T_{j}$ and the component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ in $T_{i}$ has an endpoint on the boundary of the lower triangle of $T_{i}$. Also the image of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ under $I_{3}$ moves a point of $\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha$ from the boundary of the upper traingle of $T_{j}$ to the boundary of the lower pentagon of $T_{i}$. We call $I_{3}$ to be a normalization move on $\bar{\gamma}$. After finitely many normalization moves performed on $\bar{\gamma}$, wherever applicable, we can assume that every component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ is contained in a rectangular track $T_{i}$ for some


Figure 5. A schematic showing the normalization move, the isotopy $I_{3}$


Figure 6. The portion of $\bar{\gamma}$ in rectified position inside $T_{i}$


Figure 7. Schematic showing $H_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ in $R_{\gamma}$
$i \in K$ such that the endpoints of that component lie on the boundary of the lower triangle and the lower pentagon of $T_{i}$. So a schematic of every component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ inside $T_{i}$ is as in Figure 6.

After these isotopies $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ of $\bar{\gamma}$, we say that $\bar{\gamma}$ is in a rectified position. We now prove that $\bar{\gamma}$ in rectified position and $\alpha$ fill $S_{g}$. From now on we assume that $\bar{\gamma}$ is in a rectified position.

Step 2 : For $i \in K$, let $H_{i}$ be the rectangular component of $R_{\gamma} \backslash\left(\cup_{i \in K} \alpha_{i}\right)$ containing the arcs $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ on its boundary. Each of these $H_{i}$ contains a unique segment, $\gamma_{i}$, of the core curve $\gamma$. The schematic 7 shows $H_{1}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ for instance.


Figure 8. The figure on the left shows disks $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ formed by cutting along $\gamma_{i-1}$; the figure on the right shows the new disks formed when $J \cup J^{\prime}$ are cut along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$

We say that an arc, $g$ of $\bar{\gamma}$ covers $\gamma_{i}$ if $g \subset H_{i}$ has its end points on $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$ and $g$ is isotopic in $H_{i}$ to $\gamma_{i}$ through arcs whose end points stay on $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i+1}$. Since $\gamma$ and $\alpha$ form a filling pair, the set of essential arcs, $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right\}$ fill $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$. It follows that $\bar{\gamma}$ fills $S_{g}$ along with $\alpha$ if segments of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha$ cover $\gamma_{i}$ for all $i$ with $i \in K$.

Since $\bar{\gamma}$ is in rectified position, each component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ already covers all $\gamma_{i}$ except one as in Figure 6. More precisely, if a component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ is in a rectangular track $T_{i}$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ covers every $\gamma_{j}$ where $j$ is such that $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $j \neq i-1$. So, if $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$ has two distinct components, then each component has to lie in $T_{i}$ for distinct $i$ and hence $\bar{\gamma}$ covers $\gamma_{j}$ for $j \in\{1,2, \ldots k\}$. We conclude that $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S_{g}$ in this case. Now it remains to show that if there is a single component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$, which is an essential arc of $R_{\gamma}$ and is contained in some rectangular track $T_{i}$, then $\bar{\gamma}$ and $\alpha$ fill $S_{g}$. As in the previous case, $\bar{\gamma}$ covers every $\gamma_{j}$ where $j$ is such that $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $j \neq i-1$. The components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i-1} \gamma_{j}\right)$ will be disks except possibly one which could be a cylinder. This can be seen as follows. Since $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ fill $S_{g}$, the components of $S_{g} \backslash \alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \gamma_{j}$ are disks. Each segment of $\gamma_{j} \backslash \alpha$ for $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ contributes to two distinct edges of a component $J_{0}$ or two separate components $J, J^{\prime}$ of $S_{g} \backslash \alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \gamma_{j}$.

Let $P_{1}:=\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha_{i}$ and $P_{2}:=\bar{\gamma} \cap \alpha_{i-1}$ be points in $T_{i}$ which appear on the unique component of $\bar{\gamma} \cap R_{\gamma}$. Let [ $P_{1}, P_{2}$ ] represent the arc of $\bar{\gamma}$ in $R_{\gamma}$ with endpoints $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{1}:=\bar{\gamma} \backslash\left[P_{1}, P_{2}\right] . \bar{\gamma}_{1}$ is contained in all the components of $S_{g} \backslash$ $\alpha \cup_{1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i-1} \gamma_{j}$ which contain the arcs $\alpha_{i-1}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ on their boundary. We know that there is at-least one such component because $\gamma_{i-1}$ is also such an arc which joins $\alpha_{i-1}$ to $\alpha_{i}$. If $\gamma_{i-1}$ is the boundary of $J, J^{\prime}$, then it would have been an arc which connected $\alpha_{i-1}$ on one disk to $\alpha_{i}$ on another disk. Note that both $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i-1}$ are also boundary arcs of both $J$ and $J^{\prime}$. So, we would find $P_{1}$ on the disk containing $\alpha_{i}$ and $P_{2}$ on the disk containing $\alpha_{i-1}$. When we join $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ along $\gamma_{i-1}$ we get a disk where $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ is an arc from $P_{1}$ to $P_{2}$ intersecting $\gamma_{i-1}$. Cutting along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ still yields two different disks. The schematic, Figure 8 shows this situation.


Figure 9. The disk $J_{0}$ glued to itself along $\gamma_{i-1}$ and cut along $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$

If $\gamma_{i-1}$ were on the boundary of $J_{0}$ representing two edges of $J_{0}$ then it would have been an arc which connected $\alpha_{i-1}$ to $\alpha_{i}$. When we glue $J_{0}$ to itself along $\gamma_{i-1}$, we get a cylinder, $A$, where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i-1}$ will be arcs on different boundary components of $A$. So we would find $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ on distinct boundaries of $A$ and hence $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ would be an essential arc on $A$. So cutting $A$ along this arc $\bar{\gamma}_{1}$ would yield a disk as shown in the schematic, Figure 9.

In any case, we get disks by cutting $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$ along the arcs of $\bar{\gamma} \backslash \alpha$.
Thus, we have finished our application of the distance $\geq 4$ test and we have that $d\left(T_{\gamma}(\alpha), \alpha\right) \geq 4$. This along with the existence of the length 4 path between $\alpha$ and $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ proves the theorem.

Theorem 7. If $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ is a pair of curves on $S_{g}$ with $d(\alpha, \gamma)=3$ then for $p \geq 2, d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right)=4$.

Proof. Let $\nu_{0}=\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}=\gamma$ be a geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. For $p \geq 2$, the existence of the path $T_{\gamma}^{p}\left(\nu_{0}\right), T_{\gamma}^{p}\left(\nu_{1}\right), T_{\gamma}^{p}\left(\nu_{2}\right)=\nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{0}$ gives that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right) \leq 4$.

Let $k=i(\alpha, \gamma)$. $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ is obtained by performing a surgery on $p k$ copies of $\gamma$ and $\alpha$ similar to the surgery (Figure 3) performed on $k$ copies of $\gamma$ and $\alpha$ to obtain $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$.

Since only the $k$ copies of $\gamma$ in the surgery of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ is changed to $p k$ copies of $\gamma$ to obtain $T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)$ and as $k$ is arbitrary throughout the definitions and proofs in the previous part, we can prove that $d\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{p}(\alpha)\right) \geq 4$ in exactly the same way as the proof of Theorem 6.
4.2.1. Upper bound for $i_{\min }(g, 4)$. As an application of Theorem 6 we are able to obtain an upper bound for the minimum intersection number for a pair of curves at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

Corollary 1. For a surface of genus $g \geq 3$, $i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$.
Proof. Aougab and Huang [3] proved that $i_{\text {min }}(g, 3)=2 g-1$ for $g \geq 3$. Now, on $S_{g}$, for $g \geq 3$, suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two such minimally intersecting curves
with $d(\alpha, \beta)=3$. Then $i\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)=(2 g-1)^{2}$ and by Theorem $6, d\left(\alpha, T_{\beta}(\alpha)\right)=4$. So $i_{\min }(g, 4) \leq(2 g-1)^{2}$.

### 4.2.2. An initially efficient geodesic.

THEOREM 8. If $\alpha=\nu_{0}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3}=\gamma$ is an initially efficient geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ then so is $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$.

Proof. For $p \in K_{2-2 g}$, let $F_{p}^{\prime \prime}$ be the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left\{\alpha, R_{\gamma}\right\}$ as in the proof of Theorem 6. Since the geodesic $\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \gamma$ is an initially efficient one, each segment of $\nu_{1}$ intersects every reference arc in $E_{i}$ at most twice. In particular, arcs of $\partial\left(R_{\gamma}\right)$ that form the edges of $E_{i}$ intersect $\nu_{1}$ at most twice. It follows from here that there are at the most two segments of $\nu_{1}$ in each rectangular track $T_{i}$ as defined in. A schematic of this is shown in figure 10 . Further, since the interior of a reference arc is disjoint from $\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$, it is sufficient to check for the initial efficiency of the geodesic, $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$ in the modified disks $F_{p}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, abbreviated $F$, corresponding to $F_{p}$, abbreviated $E$.

Since $E$ and $F$ are homeomorphic to a $2 g$-gon. Without loss of generality assume $E$ and $F$ to be a regular Euclidean regular polygon with $2 g$ sides. Starting at any segment of $\alpha$ in $E$, we label the edge as $\alpha_{1}$. Label the edges of $E$ in a clockwise direction, starting at $\alpha_{1}$ as $\gamma_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{g}$. Let $S^{\prime}=S_{g} \backslash R_{\gamma}$. Since the components of $S^{\prime} \backslash\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ and $S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{\alpha, T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right\}$ are the same, it follows that for every edge, $a_{j_{0}}$ in $F$ corresponding to $\alpha$, there exists a unique $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, g\}$ such that $a_{j_{0}} \subset \alpha_{i_{0}}$. Index the edges, $a_{j_{0}}$ of $F$ such that $j_{0}=i_{0}$. Label the edge of $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ in $F$ between $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ as $t_{i}$. Let $\omega$ be a reference arc in $F$ with end points on $t_{p}$ and $t_{q}$ for some $p, q \in\{1, \ldots, g\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $\omega \cap T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right) \geq 3$. Then there exists three segments, $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}$ of $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ in $F$ such that $z_{j} \cap \omega \neq \phi$. For $j \in\{1,2,3\}$, let the end points of $z_{j}$ lie on $a_{j_{1}}$ and $a_{j_{2}}$. From our previous discussion on Dehn twist and figure 11, there exists arcs of $\nu_{1}$ in $E$ with end points on $\gamma_{j_{1}}$ and $\gamma_{j_{2}}$ for all $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. Consider a line segment, $\omega^{\prime}$ in $E$ from an interior point of $a_{p}$ to an interior point of $a_{q}$. Then $\omega^{\prime}$ is a reference arc for the triple, $\alpha$, $\nu_{1}, \gamma$ and $\omega^{\prime} \cap \nu_{1} \geq 3$. This contradicts that $\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \gamma$ is an initially efficient geodesic. Hence, $\omega \cap T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right) \leq 2$ for any choice of reference arc, $\omega$ for the triple $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \alpha$.

Since $T_{\gamma}(\alpha), T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}, \alpha$ is already a geodesic we have that $d\left(T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right), \alpha\right)=3$. This gives that $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ is an initially efficient geodesic of distance 4 from $T_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ to $\alpha$.


Figure 10. There can be at-most two distinct segments of $T_{\gamma}\left(\nu_{1}\right)$ in any rectangular component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\alpha \cup T_{\gamma}(\alpha)\right)$ in $R_{\gamma}$


Figure 11. Initial efficiency of $T_{\gamma}\left(a_{1}\right)$ follows from the initial efficiency of $a_{1}$

## CHAPTER 5

## SCALING CURVES

Let $\gamma$ be an arbitrary curve on $S_{g}$. In this chapter, sections 5.1 and 5.5 characterises certain sets of arcs that fill $S_{g} \backslash \gamma$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be curves on $S_{g}$ with $d(\alpha, \beta)=4$. In section 5.2 , we describe particular components of the annular neighbourhood of $\beta$ cut along $\alpha$. We observe some of the properties of these components in section 5.4. In section 5.3 , we construct curves on $S_{g}$, which we call the scaling curves, from arcs of $\beta \backslash \alpha$ and prove that these curves are at distance at least 3 from $\alpha$.

- The objective of this chapter is to introduce a few terminologies and certain properties of scaling curves that will aid us in analysing the values of $d\left(\alpha, T_{\alpha}(\beta)\right)$ in the following chapter. The work in this chapter is part of the preprint [16].


### 5.1. Filling system of arcs

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be a filling pair of curves on $S_{g}$. Let $\beta^{\prime} \in \beta \backslash \alpha$. Let $D$ be the polygonal disc obtained by gluing the two components of $S_{g} \backslash(\alpha \cup \beta)$ along $\beta^{\prime}$. Let $b_{1}$ be an arc in $D$ such that $b_{1}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ have their end points on the same arcs of $\alpha \cap D$. We say that $b_{1}$ covers $\beta^{\prime}$ if $b_{1}$ is isotopic to $\beta^{\prime}$ by an isotopy of $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $D$ having end points on the same arcs of $\alpha \cap D$ as $\beta^{\prime}$ and $b_{1}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-empty set of essential arcs on $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$ such that the end points of every arc in $\mathcal{A}$ lies on the boundary. We call $\mathcal{A}$ a filling system of arcs of $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$ if the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash \alpha\right) \backslash \mathcal{A}$ are discs.

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be a pair of filling curves on $S_{g}$. Let $i(\alpha, \beta)=n$ and the components of $\beta \backslash \alpha$ be $\left\{\beta_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a non-empty set of essential arcs on $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$. If for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $g_{i} \in \Gamma$ such that $g_{i}$ covers $\beta_{i}$, then $\Gamma$ is a filling system of arcs of $S_{g} \backslash \alpha$.

Proof. Consider the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash \alpha\right) \backslash \cup\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. These components coincide with the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash \alpha\right) \backslash \beta$ and hence, are discs. Since $\cup_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{g_{i}\right\} \subset$ $\Gamma$, the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash \alpha\right) \backslash \Gamma$ are also discs.

For any curve $\alpha$ on $S_{g}$, we denote the annular neighbourhood of $\alpha$ as $R_{\alpha}$. Let $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ be a geodesic in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Let $\left(a_{0}, a_{4}, R_{a_{0}}, R_{a_{4}}\right)$ be amenable to Dehn twist in special position. The following lemma 2 states that for the purpose of cutting $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$ into discs, not every arc of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ is necessary. We can forgo any one of the arcs of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$.

Lemma 2. Let $b$ be a component of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$. Then $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash b$ is a filling system of arcs of $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$.

Proof. Each component of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ is common to two components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup\right.$ $\left.a_{4}\right)$. Let the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ that share the edge corresponding to $b$ be $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$.

We first show that $D_{1} \neq D_{2}$. On the contrary, if $D_{1}=D_{2}$ let $p$ be the central curve of the annulus obtained by gluing $D_{1}$ along $b$. Being in minimal position with $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}, p$ forms an essential curve on $S_{g}$. Since $i\left(p, a_{0}\right)=0$ and $i\left(p, a_{4}\right)=1$, we get a path of distance 3 between $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ via $p$, which is not possible.

Call the disc obtained by gluing $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ along $b$ as $D$. Components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash b\right)$ comprise of the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)\right) \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)$ and $D$. Since each component is a disc, it follows that $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash b$ forms a filling system of $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$.

### 5.2. Buckets

Let $\alpha$ be a curve on $S_{g}$ which intersects $\mu$ and $\lambda$ minimally. Any arc in $\alpha \cap R_{\lambda}$ with end points on distinct components of $\partial\left(R_{\lambda}\right)$ is called a strand of $\alpha$ in $R_{\lambda}$. If $\alpha$ is such that $i(\alpha, \lambda)=1$ and $\alpha \cap \mu \subset R_{\lambda}$ then $\alpha$ is called a standard single strand curve.

Given an ordered set of points on $\mu$, we now give a shorthand notation to represent the arcs of $\mu$ between these points. Let $\mu$ be with a preferred orientation and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m \geq 3}$ be distinct points on $\mu$. Considering $\mu$ as the embedding $\mu$ : $[0,1] \longrightarrow S_{g}$ with $\mu(0)=\mu(1)$, we say that $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are along the orientation of $\mu$ if $\mu^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right)<\mu^{-1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$. We use $\mu_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}$ to denote the undirected arc of $a$ with end points $x_{i}, x_{i+1}$ and which has no other $x_{j}$ 's on it. Since $\mu_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}$ is undirected, we set $\mu_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}=\mu_{\left[x_{i+1}, x_{i}\right]}$. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $b_{i}$ be curves or essential arcs on $S_{g}$ such that $b_{i} \cap \mu=x_{i}$. When the context is clear, we will interchangeably use $\mu_{\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]}$ and $\mu_{\left[b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right]}$.

Select some orientation for $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$. Let $a_{0} \cap a_{4}=\left\{w_{i}: i \in K\right\}$ be ordered along the orientation of $a_{4}$. For $i \in K$, let $a_{0}^{i}$ be the $\operatorname{arc}$ of $a_{0} \cap R_{a_{4}}$ containing


Figure 1. Top bucket $T_{i}$ and bottom bucket $B_{i}$
$w_{i}$. Let the two component curves of $\partial\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ be $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ such that $a_{0}^{1}$ with the induced orientation from $a_{0}$ goes from $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ to $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. There is a natural orientation of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ induced by the orientation of $a_{4}$. For $i \in K$, let $u_{i}=a_{0}^{i} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $v_{i}=a_{0}^{i} \cap \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. We call the rectangle in $R_{a_{4}}$ with boundaries $a_{\left[w_{i}, w_{i+1}\right]}, \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right]}, a_{0_{\left[u_{i}, w_{i}\right]}}$ and $a_{0_{\left[u_{i+1}, w_{i+1}\right]}}$ as a top bucket and denote it by $T_{i}$. Similarly, we call the rectangle in $R_{a_{4}}$ with boundaries $a_{4_{\left[w_{i}, w_{i+1}\right]}}, \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right]}, a_{0_{\left[w_{i}, v_{i}\right]}}$ and $a_{0_{\left[w_{i+1}, v_{i+1}\right]}}$ as a bottom bucket and denote it by $B_{i}$. Figure 1 gives a schematic of a top and a bottom bucket. We note that each top and bottom bucket is contained in a unique component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. Let $H$ be a top (or, bottom) bucket and let $O$ be the component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ containing $H$. We then call $H$ to be a top (or, bottom) bucket in $O$.

### 5.3. Scaling curves

Let $D$ be a component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. Let $T_{p}, T_{q}$ be top buckets in $R_{a_{4}}$ for some $p, q \in K, p<q$ such that $\left(T_{p} \cup T_{q}\right) \subset D$. Let $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ be an arc in $\cup_{i=p+1}^{q-1} T_{i}$ parallel to $a_{4}$ with end points on $a_{0}^{p+1} \cap T_{p+1}$ and $a_{0}^{q} \cap T_{q-1}$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ be an arc in the interior of $D$ with end points $\left(\gamma^{\prime \prime} \cap a_{0}\right) \cap D$. Let $\gamma$ be the curve obtained by concatenation of the arcs $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$. A schematic of $\gamma$ is shown in figure 2 . We call $\gamma$ a scaling curve from $T_{p}$ to $T_{q}$. Since $R_{a_{4}}$ is a cylinder, we can similarly define a scaling curve from $T_{q}$ to $T_{p}$ as follows. Let $\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ be an arc in $\cup_{i=q+1}^{p-1} T_{i}$ parallel to $a_{4}$ with end points on $a_{0}^{q+1} \cap T_{q+1}$ and $a_{0}^{p} \cap T_{p-1}$. Let $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ be an arc in the interior of $D$ with end points $\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap a_{0}\right) \cap D$. Then the curve obtained by concatenation of the arcs $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is a scaling curve from $T_{q}$ to $T_{p}$. By replacing top buckets with their bottom buckets counterpart, we can define scaling curve from $B_{p}$ to $B_{q}$ and $B_{q}$ to $B_{p}$.


Figure 2. A schematic of the scaling curve $\gamma$ from $T_{p}$ to $T_{q}$. The dashed arc is a schematic of $\gamma^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3. Scaling curves are not null-homotopic.

Proof. We prove the lemma when $\gamma$ is a scaling curve from a top bucket $T_{p}$ to $T_{q}, p<q$ and $\left(T_{p} \cup T_{q}\right) \subset D$ for some component $D$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. A similar proof follows if $\gamma$ is a scaling curve from a bottom bucket $B_{p}$ to $B_{q}, p<q$ by replacing $T_{p}, T_{q}$ with $B_{p}, B_{q}$, respectively, in the proof below. Similar proofs work for scaling curves from $T_{q}$ to $T_{p}$ and $B_{q}$ to $B_{p}$. We show that $\gamma$ is not nullhomotopic by considering a minimal representative of $\gamma$ along with $a_{0}$ and showing that this representative has non-zero intersections with $a_{0}$. We obtain this minimal representative of $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ by removing bigons in iterations.

Suppose if possible that $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ are not in minimal position. Since there exists an isotopic copy of $\gamma$ such that $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ overlaps with $a_{4_{\left[w_{p+1}, w_{q-1}\right]}}$ if $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ are not in minimal position then a bigon is formed by $\gamma^{\prime}$ and a subarc of $a_{0}$. This subarc of $a_{0}$ is a component of $a_{0} \backslash a_{4}$ because otherwise, if there is a point of $a_{0} \cap a_{4}$ on the boundary of this bigon then as $\gamma \cap a_{4}=\phi$ we get a bigon between $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ which contradicts the minimality of $a_{0}, a_{4}$. The closed component of $a_{0} \backslash a_{4}$ that contains this subarc also contains the arcs $T_{p} \cap a_{0_{\left[u_{p+1}, w_{p+1}\right]}}$ and $T_{q} \cap a_{0_{\left[u_{q-1}, w_{q-1}\right]}}$. Thus, $\left(T_{p+1} \cup T_{q-1}\right) \subset D_{1}$ for some component $D_{1}$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. We remove this bigon between $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ to obtain an isotopic copy of $\gamma$. This isotopic copy of $\gamma$ is in turn a scaling curve from $T_{p+1}$ to $T_{q-1}$. By abuse of notation, we denote this isotopic copy as $\gamma$.

If we have that $\gamma$ is not in minimal position with $a_{0}$, then by similar arguments as in the previous paragraph, $T_{p+1} \cap a_{0_{\left[u_{p+2}, w_{p+2}\right]}}$ and $T_{q-1} \cap a_{0_{\left[u_{q-2}, w_{q-2}\right]}}$ are contained in the same closed component of $a_{0} \backslash a_{4}$. Thus, we have that $D_{1}$ is a rectangle. As previously, we remove this bigon between $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ and consider denote the new isotopic copy which is also a scaling curve from $T_{p+2}$ to $T_{q-2}$ by $\gamma$. Further, we have that $\left(T_{p+2} \cup T_{q-2}\right) \subset D_{2}$ for some component $D_{2}$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$.

Continuing in a similar iterative manner as in the above paragraphs, if $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ are not in minimal intersection position, then we claim that there is a positive integer $l$ with $l<\left\lceil\frac{q-p}{2}\right\rceil-1$ such that
(1) $T_{p+l} \cup T_{q-l}$ is contained in the one component $D_{l}$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$
(2) $T_{p+l} \cap a_{4}$ and $T_{q-l} \cap a_{4}$ are separated by a single edge corresponding to $a_{0}$ in $D_{l}$
The fact that there exists $l$ with $l \leq\left\lceil\frac{q-p}{2}\right\rceil-1$ is immediate as there are at most $\left\lceil\frac{q-p}{2}\right\rceil$ pairs of buckets of the form $T_{p+i}$ and $T_{q-i}$ between $T_{p}$ and $T_{q}$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. We first show that if we assume $l=\left\lceil\frac{q-p}{2}\right\rceil-1$ along with hypothesis (1) and (2) then we arrive at the following contradictions. If $q-p$ is odd then we have that $T_{p+l}$ and $T_{q-l}$ are adjacent top buckets. But if $T_{p+l}$ and $T_{q-l}$ are adjacent top buckets then $a_{0}$ has a self intersection, which is absurd. If $q-p$ is even then $p+l+2=q-l$. But then the $a_{0} \cap D_{l}$ arc containing the end points $w_{p+l+1}$ and $w_{q-l}$ encloses a disc with $a_{4_{\left[w_{p+l+1}, w_{q-l}\right]}}$, thus giving a bigon between $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$. This contradicts that $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ are in minimal position.

We thus have that the scaling curve from $T_{p+l}$ to $T_{q-l}$ intersects $a_{0}$ minimally and is isotopic to the given $\gamma$.

As in the proof of lemma 3, whenever we consider a scaling curve we will work with an isotopic copy of it which is in minimal position with $a_{0}, a_{4}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$.

Corollary 2. Scaling curves fill with $a_{0}$.
Proof. From the construction of a scaling curve, $\gamma, \gamma \cap a_{4}=\phi$ and hence $d\left(\gamma, a_{0}\right) \geq 3$. Thus, $a_{0}$ and $\gamma$ fill $S_{g}$.

REMARK 3. If $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ intersect $i_{\min }(g, 4)$ number of times then any scaling curve are at a distance 3 from $a_{0}$.

### 5.4. Properties of buckets

The following lemmas explain a few observations regarding the buckets in $R_{a_{4}}$ and the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ that contain them.

From corollary 2 and the fact $i_{\min }(g, 3) \geq 4([3])$, we have the following corollary regarding the placement in $R_{a_{4}}$ of the top buckets which are subset of the same disc of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. A similar version of corollary 3 holds true for bottom buckets.

Corollary 3. Let $D$ be a component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ and $T_{p}, T_{q}$ be distinct top buckets in $R_{a_{4}}$ for some $p, q \in K, p<q$ such that $\left(T_{p} \cup T_{q}\right) \subset D$. Then $|q-p| \geq 4$.

Lemma 4. For any $i \in K, T_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ can't be subsets of the same component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$.

Proof. This follows directly from the proof of lemma 2 by considering $b=$ $a_{4_{[i, i+1]}}$.

Choice of $\delta:$ Considering $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ to be in special position w.r.t. $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$, there exists a representative of $a_{0}$ such that the possible schematics of the strands of $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ are as in figure 3 . The details to the choice of such a representative of $a_{0}$ can be found in the proof of 5 . Any path between $a_{0}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is of the form $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), \delta, c, \Theta, a_{0}$ where $\delta \in B_{1}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right), c \in B_{1}(\delta)$ and $\Theta$ is a non-trivial path. We now give an algorithm to select a representative of $\delta$ such that for each strand of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ there exists $i \in K$ such that the end points of the strand lies on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right]}$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right]}$. Applying $T_{a_{4}}$ to a geodesic between $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$, we get that $d\left(a_{4}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=4$. Since $\delta \in B_{1}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$, we have that $d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 3$. From [3], we have that $i_{\min }(g, 3) \geq 4$. Thus $i\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 4$, i.e. there are at least 4 strands of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. Consider a representative of $\delta$ which is in minimal position with $a_{0}, a_{4}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. We can choose a representative of $\delta$ such that $\delta \cap a_{0} \subset R_{a_{4}}$ by performing the isotopy $I_{2}$ described in the step 1 of theorem 6 . An intuitive picture of this isotopy is to finger push the points in $\delta \cap a_{0}$ which don't lie in $R_{a_{4}}$, along $a_{0}$, into $R_{a_{4}}$. This "finger pushing" doesn't disturb the minimal position of $\delta$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$. The strands of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ attained after performing the above isotopies can be one of the four possible schematics as in figure 3. If a strand of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$, say $\delta^{\prime}$, is as in figure 3 a or 3 b , we can perform an isotopy of $\delta$ such that the isotopic image of $\delta^{\prime}$ is as in figure 3c or 3d and the isotopy doesn't disturb the other strands of $\delta$. This isotopy of $\delta$ is defined as $I_{3}$ in the step 1 of theorem 6 . The isotopic copy thus obtained is said to be " $\delta$ in a rectified position".

Let $\delta_{1}$ be the point on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ such that $\delta_{1}=\delta \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[u_{1}, u_{2}\right]}$ and that one of the arcs $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{1} \cup \delta_{1}\right\}$ doesn't contain any points of $\delta \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. If $m=i\left(a_{4}, \delta\right)$, let $\delta \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)=\left\{\delta_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ such that the $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{m}$ are along the orientation of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. Let the strand of $\delta$ containing the point $\delta_{i}$ be $\delta^{i}$.

Let $\delta^{r}, \delta^{s}$ be any two distinct strands of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ such that $\delta^{r}$ and $\delta^{s}$ start in distinct top buckets, say $T_{r}$ and $T_{s}$, and that there exists a component of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \backslash$ $\left(\delta^{r} \cup \delta^{s}\right)$ that doesn't contain any points of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \cap \delta$ other than $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \cap \delta^{r}$ and $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \cap \delta^{s}$. We call the rectangular component of $R_{a_{4}} \backslash\left(\delta^{r} \cup \delta^{s}\right)$ which doesn't contain any other strand of $\delta$ as a $\delta$-track in $R_{a_{4}}$ and denote it by $\delta^{r, s}$. The boundary of $\delta^{r, s}$ comprises of the $\operatorname{arcs} \delta^{r}, \delta^{s}, \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{r}, \delta^{s}\right]}$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{r}, \delta^{s}\right]}$. Further, assuming $r<s$, we call the set $\bigcup_{i=r}^{s}\left(T_{i} \cup B_{i}\right)$ as inside of $\delta^{r, s}$.

Lemma 5. Let $O$ be a $2 n$-gon disc of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ with $n \geq 4$. For any deltatrack in $R_{a_{4}}, \delta^{r, s}$, there exists at-least one top bucket or, bottom bucket in $O$ that is not in the inside of $\delta^{r, s}$.


Figure 3. The possible starting and ending points of strands of $\delta$ in $R_{a_{4}}$

Proof. Let us suppose on the contrary that there exists a $\delta$-track $\delta^{r, s}$ such that all the top and bottom buckets in $O$ are inside $\delta^{r, s}$. Without loss of generality, assume the top and bottom buckets containing the end points of $\delta^{r}$ are $T_{r}$ and $B_{r}$, respectively. Similarly, for $\delta^{s}$ the top and bottom buckets are $T_{s}$ and $B_{s}$, respectively. If every bucket in $O$ are of the form $T_{i}$ or, $B_{i}$ for $r<i<s$ then, we get a scaling curve $\gamma$ such that $\delta, \gamma, a_{4}$ is a path. This contradicts $d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 4$.

Also, since every bucket of $O$ is inside $\delta^{r, s}$, any arc of $\delta \cap O$ are either an arc that covers $a_{4_{[i, i+1]}}$ for $r<i<s$ or, an arc, say $\delta^{\prime}$, with end points $\delta^{r} \cap a_{4}$ and $\delta^{s} \cap a_{4}$. It follows along with lemma 4 that either $T^{r}, T^{s}$ or, $T^{r}, B^{s}$ or, $B^{r}, T^{s}$ or, $B^{r}, B^{s}$ are buckets of $O$. We show that all these possibilities, if they exist, lead to a contradiction. The following is a combinatorial proof and we give it for the case $O$ is an octagon. As $n$ increases, the proof remains intact with only the possibility of certain cases being redundant.

If $T^{r}, T^{s}$ are buckets in $O$, figure 4 shows the distinct possible $\delta^{\prime}$. If $T^{r}, B^{s}$ are buckets in $O$, figure 5 shows the distinct possible $\delta^{\prime}$. If $B^{r}, B^{s}$ are buckets in


Figure 4. Both the dotted line and the dashed line are possibilities for $\delta^{\prime}$ if $T_{r}$ and $T_{s}$ are as in the schematic.

$O$, figure 6 shows the distinct possible $\delta^{\prime}$. In the possible cases of figure 4,5 and 6a, by the pigeon hole principle, either component of $O \backslash \delta^{\prime}$ contains either two top or, bottom buckets. Thus, if these cases occur, we can construct a scaling curve $\gamma$ such that $\delta, \gamma, a_{4}$ is a path, which is absurd. For figure 6 b , if both the components of $O \backslash \delta^{\prime}$ contains a top bucket, because $S_{g}$ is an orientable surface, we will be able to find a non-trivial curve $\gamma$ with properties as in the above cases. Here, $\gamma \cap O$ lies in the component of $O$ containing the vertices $w_{r+1}$ and $w_{s}$.

If $B^{r}, T^{s}$ are buckets in $O$, the arguments are similar to the case of $T^{r}$ and $B^{s}$ being buckets of $O$.

### 5.5. Almost filling arcs

Suppose we have a filling system of arcs of $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$ and there is another set of arcs on $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$ that covers all the arcs in the former filling system of arcs except

(A)

(в)

Figure 6


Figure 7. A schematic of $\mathcal{I}$ with $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ almost covering $b$.
for one. In the following we explore a sufficient condition on the latter system of arcs which ensures that it forms a filling system of arcs. To prove this condition we take the aid of the fact that $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ fill $S_{g}$.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be some non-rectangular component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ and $a_{0}^{J_{1}}, a_{0}^{J_{2}}$ be two distinct edges of $\mathcal{I}$, where $J_{1}, J_{2} \in K$. Consider an arc, $b$, in $\mathcal{I}$ with end points on $a_{0}^{J_{1}}$ and $a_{0}^{J_{2}}$. Let $I$ be one of the two components of $\mathcal{I} \backslash J$ such that $I$ contains an edge $a_{0}^{J}$ for some $J \in K$. By our assumption, there exists an edge, $a_{0}^{J_{3}}$, in $I$ such that $a_{0}^{J_{2}} \cap I$ and $a_{0}^{J_{3}}$ are adjacent in the polygon $I$. Consider arcs, $z$ and $z^{\prime}$, in $I$ such that the end points of $z$ are $a_{0}^{J_{1}} \cap I, a_{0}^{J_{3}}$ and the endpoints of $z^{\prime}$ are $a_{0}^{J_{2}}, a_{0}^{J_{3}}$. Clearly, $z^{\prime}$ covers the $a_{4}$ edge in $I$ adjacent to $a_{0}^{J_{2}} \cap I$ and $a_{0}^{J_{3}}$. We call such a pair of $\operatorname{arcs}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ to almost cover $b$. Figure 7 gives a schematic of $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 6. Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ be curves on $S_{g}$ with $d\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)=4$. Let $\kappa$ be another curve on $S_{g}$ such that $a_{0}$ and $\kappa$ fill $S_{g}$. Let $\Gamma$ be a set of essential arcs on $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$.

If $\Gamma$ consists of arcs that covers all but one arc of $\kappa \backslash a_{0}$ and almost covers the remaining arc of $\kappa \backslash a_{0}$, then $\Gamma$ forms a filling system of arcs of $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$.

Proof. Let $g, g^{\prime} \in \Gamma$ and $x$ be the component of $\kappa \backslash a_{0}$ such that $\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)$ almost cover $x$. Let $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \subset \Gamma$ be the arcs that cover the components of $\left(\kappa \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash x$. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ that contains $x$.

Starting with the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash a_{4}$, we can obtain the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \kappa$ by gluing the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash a_{4}$ along the components of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ and cutting along the components of $\kappa \backslash a_{0}$. In the components $\left(S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)\right) \backslash \mathcal{I}$, the action of cutting along the components of $\kappa \backslash a_{0}$ coincides with the action of cutting along $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$.

Let $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ be the two components of $\mathcal{I} \backslash x$ such that $\left(g \cup g^{\prime}\right) \subset I$. In $\mathcal{I}$, the action of gluing along $x$ is such that it separates the $a_{4}$-edges of $\mathcal{I}$ into two sets i.e. $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap I$ and $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap I^{\prime}$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ be the components of $\mathcal{I} \backslash\left(g \cup g^{\prime}\right)$. From the schematic in figure 7, we can see that the components of $\mathcal{I} \backslash\left(g \cup g^{\prime}\right)$ can be named such that $I^{\prime} \subset G_{1}, G_{2} \subset I$ and $G_{3} \subset I$. Since, the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash(\kappa \backslash x)$ and the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \cup_{j \in J} g_{j}$ are the same, we have that the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \backslash \cup_{j \in J} g_{j}\right) \backslash\left(g \cup g^{\prime}\right)\right.$ will be discs if the action of cutting along $g \cup g^{\prime}$ doesn't put an arc from $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap I$ and another from $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap I^{\prime}$ in the same $G_{i}$. Such a phenomenon never occurs by our definition of almost filling.

## chapter 6



We have that $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}=T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{3}\right), T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{2}\right), T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{1}\right), T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is a path of length 6 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$. Existence of a path of length 6 between $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), a_{0}$ and theorem 5 gives that

$$
4 \leq d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \leq 6
$$

Thus, geodesics between $a_{0}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ can be of the form $z_{0}=T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), z_{1}=$ $\delta, z_{2}=c, \ldots, z_{N}=a_{0}$ for some $N \in\{4,5,6\}$ and $c \in B_{1}(\delta)$. We have that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \geq 5$ if and only if $d\left(a_{0}, c\right) \geq 3$ for all possible $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \cap B_{1}(\delta)$. In this chapter we identify the characteristics of $\delta$ and $c$ which results in $d\left(c, a_{0}\right) \geq 3$.

The notations and representatives for $a_{0}, a_{4}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), \delta \in B_{1}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right), R_{a_{0}}$ and $R_{a_{4}}$ in this chapter are the same as the ones made in the previous chapter.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The path $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}=T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{3}\right), T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{2}\right), T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{1}\right), T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{g}\right)$ gives that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \leq 6$. In [4], the authors showed that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{T_{a_{3}}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)}^{B}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \geq 6$ for some large enough constant $B$. We replicate their arguments to show that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \geq 6, \forall k \geq K$ for some large enough constant $K$. We will be using the notations as introduced in section 2.7. For any curve $\gamma$, we have that $d_{\gamma}\left(\alpha, T_{\gamma}^{N}(\alpha)\right) \geq N-2$. Choose a large enough constant $K$ such that

$$
d_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \geq K-2>M
$$

By theorem 2, any geodesic, $g$, between $a_{0}$ and $T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{0}\right)$ has to pass through the one neighbourhood of $a_{4}$. Suppose for $g$, the node $p$ lies in the one neighbourhood of
$a_{4}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) & =d\left(a_{0}, p\right)+d\left(p, T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \geq\left(d\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)-1\right)+\left(d\left(T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{4}\right), T_{a_{4}}^{K}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)-1\right) \\
& =6
\end{aligned}
$$

The above arguments follows for all $k \geq K$ and thus $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{k}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=6, \forall k \geq K$.

### 6.1. Representative of $c$

Since $c \in B_{1}(\delta), d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 3$ implies that $c \cap a_{4} \neq \phi$. Thus, there is at least one strand of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. We now perform an isotopy of $c$ so that $c$ is in a favourable position with respect to $a_{0}, a_{4}, \partial\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ on $S_{g}$. The idea behind this isotopy is to get a representative of $c$ such that in $R_{a_{4}}$, the strands of $c$ resemble the "spiral pattern" of the strands of $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ and $\delta$. Consider an isotopic copy of $c$ such that $c$ is in minimal position with $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right), \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right), a_{0}, a_{4}$ and $\delta$. Consider any strand, $c^{\prime}$, of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. Let $c_{+}=c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $c_{-}=c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. Let $i_{0} \in K=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $c_{+}$lies on the boundary of the top bucket $T_{i_{0}}$. There exists $0 \leq l \leq k-1$ such that $c_{-}$lies on the boundary of the bottom bucket $B_{i_{0}+l}$. Note that since in any top bucket $T_{i}$, there is an arc of delta with end points on $a_{0}^{i}$ and $a_{0}^{i+1}$, if $l=0$ then $c^{\prime} \cap a_{0}^{i_{0}+1} \neq \phi$. There exists $i_{1}, i_{2} \in K$ such that $c_{+}$lies in $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{\left.i_{1}, \delta^{i_{2}}\right]}\right.}$. Since $c^{\prime} \cap\left(\delta^{i_{1}} \cup \delta^{i_{2}}\right)=\phi$, we have $i_{1} \leq i_{0}+l \leq i_{2}$. Consider the $\operatorname{arcs} c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ in the annulus $R_{a_{4}}$ as follows:

- $c_{1}$ starts at $c_{+}$passing through $T_{i_{0}}, T_{i_{0}+1} \ldots, T_{i_{1}-1}$ and ends in some interior point on $a_{0}^{i_{1}} \cap T_{i_{1}-1}$
- $c_{2}$ is an arc parallel to $a_{4}$ which starts at $c_{1} \cap a_{0}^{i_{1}}$, passes through $T_{i_{1}}$, $T_{i_{1}+1} \ldots, T_{i_{0}+l-1}$ and ends in some interior point on $a_{0}^{i_{0}+l} \cap T_{i_{0}+l}$
- $c_{3}$ is an arc in the rectangle $T_{i_{0}+l} \cup B_{i_{0}+l}$ with end points $c_{2} \cap a_{0}^{i_{0}+l}$ and $c_{-}$

Let $c^{\prime \prime}$ be the arc obtained by concatenating $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$. Note that $c^{\prime \prime}$ intersects $a_{4}$ only once. Since both $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$ are arcs in the rectangle with edges $\delta^{i_{1}}$, $\delta^{i_{2}}, \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{i_{1}}, \delta^{i_{2}}\right]}$ and $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{i_{1}}, \delta^{i_{2}}\right]}$ such that both $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$ have end points $c_{+}$and $c_{-}$, there is a end point fixing isotopy, $\mathcal{I}$, of arcs in the rectangle from $c^{\prime}$ to $c^{\prime \prime}$. The isotopy $\mathcal{I}$ can be extended to an isotopy of $c$ to $\left(c \backslash c^{\prime}\right) \cup c^{\prime \prime}$ such that the action on $c \backslash c^{\prime}$ remains identity. By abuse of notation, we denote $\mathcal{I}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ i.e. $c^{\prime \prime}$ by $c^{\prime}$. Since the strand of $c, c^{\prime}$, is arbitrary and $\mathcal{I}$ is identity on $c \backslash c^{\prime}$, we can apply $\mathcal{I}$ to every strand of $c$ to obtain a representative of $c$ which remains in minimal position with $a_{0}, a_{4}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ and $\delta$. We will always consider such a representative of $c$.

Suppose $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=k_{0}$. Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k_{0}}$ be the strands of $c$. Let the end points of $c_{i}$ be in the top bucket $T_{d_{i}}$ and the bottom bucket $B_{d_{i}-l_{i}}$. We call the set $C_{i}=\bigcup_{j=d_{i}-l_{i}}^{d}\left(T_{j} \cup B_{j}\right)$ as the inside of $c_{i}$. We define $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k_{0}}\left(C_{j}\right)$ as the inside of $c$.

### 6.2. Values of $d\left(c, a_{0}\right)$

We now look into the possible values for $d\left(c, a_{0}\right)$ by considering the following two cases depending on the number of strands of $c$ :

Case i : there is a single strand of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$
Case ii : there are multiple strands of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$
Case i : Suppose there exists a single strand, $c^{\prime}$, of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. Let $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{p}, \delta^{q}\right]}$ where $1 \leq p<q \leq m$. We first consider the case when $\delta^{p} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\delta^{q} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in the same top bucket then $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in $T_{e}$ and $B_{e}$, respectively, for some $e \in K=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. By lemma 2 we have that $c^{\prime}$ and $a_{0}$ fills.

We now consider the case that $\delta^{p} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\delta^{q} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in distinct top buckets. Without loss of generality in the arguments below, we can assume that $\delta^{p} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\delta^{q} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in $T_{p}$ and $T_{q}$, respectively. Let the end points of $c^{\prime}$ be in the top bucket $D=T_{d}$ and the bottom bucket $T=B_{d-l}$ where $r \leq d-l \leq d \leq s$. The set $\bigcup_{i=d-l}^{d}\left(T_{i} \cup B_{i}\right)$ forms the inside of $c$. For a $c$ with a single strand $c^{\prime}$, if $c \cap a_{0}=c^{\prime} \cap a_{0}$, we recall from section ?? that such a $c$ is said to be a standard single strand curve. We first show that any $c$ with $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=1$ is a standard single strand curve.

We recall that if $c$ is such that $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=1$ and $c \cap a_{0} \subset R_{a_{4}}$ then $c$ is a standard single strand curve. If $\mathcal{T}$ is the disc which contains $T$, then there exists another top or, bottom bucket $T^{*}$ which contains an endpoint of the $\operatorname{arc}$ of $c \cap \mathcal{T}$ containing the subarc $c^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{T}$. If $c$ is a standard single strand curve then $T^{*}=D$. If $T^{*} \neq D$, let $\mathcal{D}$ be the component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ containing $D$. Let $D^{*}$ be the bucket in $\mathcal{D}$ where the other end of the arc in $\left(c \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}$ containing $c^{\prime} \cap D$ lies.

Lemma 7. Let $T^{*} \neq D$. If either $T^{*}$ or, $D^{*}$ are inside $c$ then there exists a representative of $c$ that is a standard single strand curve.

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $D^{*}$ is inside $c$. We show that $D^{*}$ can't be a top bucket. Similar arguments ensure that whenever $T^{*}$ is inside $c$, it can't be a bottom bucket. Assume on the contrary that $D^{*}$ is a top bucket. Then the arc of $c$ in $D^{*}$ is either as in figure 1a or, 1 b . In either case, consider $\gamma$ as shown in figure 1. We observe that in figure 1 , the $\operatorname{arc}\left(\gamma \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}$ from $D^{*}$ to $D$ is parallel to the $\operatorname{arc}\left(c \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}$ from $D^{*}$ to $D$. By corollary $2, \gamma$ is essential. Since, $\gamma$ is inside $c$ it implies that $\gamma$ is inside $\delta^{p, q}$. Thus, $\gamma \cap \delta=\phi$. Further, by the construction of $\gamma$, we have that $\delta, \gamma, a_{4}$ is a path. But this contradicts $d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 3$.


Figure 1

We give the isotopy for the case when $T^{*}$ is inside $c$. Let $c_{D}$ and $c_{T^{*}}$ be any two points on the interior of the $\operatorname{arcs} c \cap D$ and $c \cap T^{*}$, respectively. Let $\tilde{c}$ be the component of $c \backslash\left\{c_{D} \cup c_{T^{*}}\right\}$ that contains the point $c \cap a_{4}$. Let $\zeta_{1}$ be the closed $\operatorname{arc} c \backslash \tilde{c}$. Recall that $D=T_{i_{0}}$. If $T^{*}=T_{i_{0}-s}$, let $\zeta_{2}$ be the arc passing through $T_{i_{0}-s}, T_{i_{0}-s+1}, \ldots, T_{i_{0}}$ parallel to $a_{4}$ and having end points $c_{D}$ and $c_{T^{*}}$. Let $\zeta$ be the curve on $S_{g}$ formed from the concatenation of $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$.

We now show that $\zeta$ has to be a trivial curve on $S_{g}$. By construction, we have $\zeta \cap a_{4}=\phi$. Since $\zeta_{1}$ is an arc of $c, \zeta_{1} \cap \delta=\phi$. Since $T^{*}$ is inside $c$ and hence, inside $\delta^{p, q}$, it follows that $\zeta_{2} \cap \delta=\phi$. Thus, $\zeta \cap \delta=\phi$. If $\zeta$ is non-trivial it contradicts the fact that $d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 3$.

Since $\zeta$ is trivial, we have that $\zeta_{1}$ is isotopic to $\zeta_{2}$ by an isotopy, say $L^{\prime}$. We perform an isotopy, $L$, of $c$ such that $L(\tilde{c})=\tilde{c}$ and $L(c \backslash \tilde{c})=L^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)=\zeta_{2}$. Thus $L(c)$ is a standard single strand curve on $S_{g}$ whose strand has its end points in $T$ and $T^{*}$.

A similar proof follows for the case if $D^{*}$ is inside $c$ and $T^{*}$ is outside $c$ by reversing the roles of $T^{*}$ with $D^{*}$ and $D$ with $T$.

Lemma 8. If $c$ is a standard single strand curve then, there exists a representative of $c$ such that the end points of its strand lies in a top and a bottom bucket of a non-rectangular component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$.

Proof. Let us suppose $\mathcal{T}$ is a rectangle. From the notations defined in the previous section, it follows that the four vertices of $\mathcal{T}$ are $w_{d}, w_{d+1}, w_{d-l}$ and $w_{d-l+1}$. Since $c$ has a single strand, we have that $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=1$. As $c$ and $a_{0}$ are in minimal position, the two parallel edges corresponding to the $a_{0}$-arcs in $\mathcal{T}$ are as follows : one edge is between $w_{d-l}$ and $w_{d}$ and the other edge is between $w_{d-l+1}$ and $w_{d+1}$. A schematic of the bigon formed between $c$ snd $a_{0}$ if the $a_{0}$-edges in $\mathcal{T}$ are otherwise is shown in figure 3. We note that, since $\delta \cap c=\phi$, we have that $c \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{[d, d+1]}=\phi$. This means that $D=T_{d}$ and $T_{q}$ don't coincide.

We first show that there exists $j$ such that $d \leq j \leq q$ and $T_{j}$ is a top bucket of some non-rectangular component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. On the contrary assume that $T_{j}$

(A)

(B)

Figure 2


Figure 3. The possible bigon formed if the $a_{4}$ edges in $\mathcal{T}$ aren't parallel.
for every $d \leq j \leq q$ is a top bucket of some rectangular disc. Let $T_{q}$ be contained in the rectangular disc $\mathcal{R}$ and let the component of $\delta^{q} \cap \mathcal{R}$ with end points on $a_{0}$ and $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ be $\delta_{*}^{q}$. Then the $a_{4}$-edges in $\mathcal{R}$ are $a_{4_{\left[w_{q}, w_{q+1}\right]}}$ and $a_{4_{\left[w_{q-l}, w_{q-l+1}\right]}}$. The union of the rectangular components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ containing $T_{j}$ for every $d \leq j \leq q$ is again a rectangle, say $R$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are contained in $R$. Since the $a_{4}$ edges of $\mathcal{T}$ are in oriented parallely, it gives that the $a_{4}$ edges of $R$ are also oriented parallely. In particular, the $a_{4}$ edges of $\mathcal{R}$ are oriented parallely. As a result $B_{q-l} \subset \mathcal{R}$. Thus the component of $\delta \cap \mathcal{R}$ that contains $\delta_{*}^{q}$ has an end point on $a_{4_{\left[w_{q-l}, w_{q-l+1]}\right]}}$, say $x$. A schematic of the above description is as in figure


Figure 4. A schematic of $c$


Figure 5. The dotted line is a schematic of $L(c)$
4. Since $\delta^{q} \backslash a_{0}$ covers every arc of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ except $a_{4_{\left[w_{q}, w_{q+1}\right]}}$, there is an arc of $\delta^{q}$ parallel to $a_{4_{\left[w_{d-l}, w_{q-l+1}\right]}}$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. Since there are no points of $\delta \cap a_{0}$ on $a_{0}^{q-l}$ between $\delta^{q} \cap a_{0}^{q-l}$ and $w_{q-l}$, there is no possibility of $x$ joining to any arc of $\delta \backslash a_{0}$. Thus, we have that there is a $T_{j}$ for some $d \leq j \leq q$ such that $T_{j}$ is contained in some non-rectangular component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$.

Consider the non-rectangular disc $H$ such that there is a top bucket $T_{d_{0}}$ of $H$ where $d<d_{0} \leq q$ and $T_{j}$ for $d \leq j<d_{0}$ are top buckets of rectangular discs. Since $T_{j}$ are rectangles for $d \leq j<d_{0}$, we have that $B_{d-l+i}$ for $0 \leq i<d_{0}-d$ are bottom buckets of rectangles. Further, for $0 \leq i<d_{0}-d, T_{d+i}$ and $B_{d-l+i}$ are buckets of the same rectangular disc. Consider an isotopy, $L$, of $c$ that moves the point $c \cap a_{4}$ along the increasing direction of $a_{4}$ from $a_{4_{\left[w_{d-l}, w_{d-l+1}\right]}}$ to $a_{4_{\left[w_{d_{0}-l}, w_{d_{0}-l+1}\right]}}$ such that $L(c)$ is in minimal position with $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$. A schematic of $L$ is shown in figure 5 . Since $T_{d_{0}}$ is in the same $\delta$-track as $D$ and $T, L(c)$ remains to be in minimal position with $\delta$.

As a consequence of lemma 8, we can now assume that the strand of $c$ has its end points in a top and bottom buckets, $A_{t}, A_{b}$ of a non-rectangular disc, say $H$. If $H$ is a $2 n$-gon with $n \geq 4$, then by lemma 5 there exists either a top or, bottom bucket $A$ of $H$ outside the delta track $\delta^{p, q}$. We will assume that $A$ is a top bucket.

When $A$ is a bottom bucket, similar conclusions can be made about $c$ and $a_{0}$ by interchanging the roles of $A_{t}$ and $A_{b}$ in the following arguments.

Let $\gamma$ be a scaling curve from $A_{t}$ to $A$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}$ be the arc in $\gamma \cap H$ which contains $(\gamma \cap A) \cup\left(\gamma \cap A_{t}\right)$. By corollary 2, we have that $\gamma$ and $a_{0}$ fill. The construction of $\gamma$ gives that every arc in $\left(\gamma \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \gamma^{\prime}$ is covered by some arc in $c \backslash a_{0}$. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be the two polygonal components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup \gamma\right)$ containing the two edges corresponding to $\gamma^{\prime}$. Let $\Lambda$ be the component formed by gluing $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ along $\gamma^{\prime}$. If $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are distinct, then $\Lambda$ is a disc. It then follows that the components of $\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash c$ correspond to the components of $\left\{\left(S_{g} \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash\left(\gamma \backslash \gamma^{\prime}\right)\right\} \cup \Lambda$. Thus, $c$ and $a_{0}$ fill whenever $\Lambda_{1} \neq \Lambda_{2}$. If $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}$, then $\Lambda$ is an annulus. Note that by construction of $\gamma$ there exists arcs of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ that covers every arc of $\left(\gamma \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \gamma^{\prime}$. Consider a representative of $a_{4}$ such that for every arc of $\left(\gamma \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \gamma^{\prime}$, the respective arc of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ which covers it also overlaps it. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the central curve of the annulus $\Lambda$. We have that $\mathcal{P}$ will be an essential curve on $S_{g}$. If not, $a_{0}$ ceases to be connected. Let the two boundary components of $\Lambda$ be $\partial_{+}(\Lambda)$ and $\partial_{-}(\Lambda)$.

Let $Y$ be a component of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ such that one of the $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap Y$, say $y$, has one of its end points on $\partial_{+}(\Lambda)$ and another on $\partial_{-}(\Lambda)$. We have that $\left(a_{0} \cap a_{4}\right) \cap Y \subset \partial(\Lambda)$. Since $\gamma \cap a_{4}=\phi$, the arcs in $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap Y$ are either in the interior of $\Lambda$ or, in $\partial(\Lambda)$. Thus, $Y$ is a polygon in the annulus $\Lambda$ such that its edge $y$ is in the interior of $\Lambda$. It follows that there is another arc in $\left(a_{4} \backslash a_{0}\right) \cap Y$ with its end points on $\partial_{+}(\Lambda)$ and $\partial_{-}(\Lambda)$.

Since $\mathcal{P}$ is a curve on $S_{g}$ with $\mathcal{P} \cap a_{0}=\phi$ and $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ fill $S_{g}$, there must exist an arc $y_{1}$ in $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ such that $y_{1} \cap \mathcal{P} \neq \phi$. As $\mathcal{P}$ is the core curve of $\Lambda$, the end points of $y_{1}$ must lie on $\partial_{+}(\Lambda)$ and $\partial_{-}(\Lambda)$. Let $Y_{1}$ be one of the discs of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ containing an edge corresponding to $y_{1}$. By the argument in the previous paragraph, there exists another arc, $y_{2}$ in $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$ with end points on $\partial_{+}(\Lambda)$ and $\partial_{-}(\Lambda)$. Let $Y_{2}$, if exists, be the other disc which contains the other edge corresponding to $y_{2}$. We apply this process inductively to obtain all the discs $Y_{1}$, $Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{l}$ with edges $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{l}$ having end points on distinct components of $\partial(\Lambda)$. If any $y_{i}$ is not inside $c$ we have that an arc of $c \backslash a_{0}$ covers this particular $y_{i}$. Thus, $c$ and $a_{0}$ fill $S_{g}$. If all $y_{i}^{\prime} s$ lie inside $c$, then $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right), \delta, c, \mathcal{P}, a_{0}$ is a geodesic of distance 4. If such a geodesic of length 4 exists with $l=4$, a schematic of $R_{a_{4}}$ and $\mathcal{P} \cap R_{a_{4}}$ is as in figure 6 upto renaming of the components $Y_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

If $i\left(c, a_{4}\right)=1$ but $c$ is not a standard single strand curve, by lemma 7 neither $T^{*}$ nor $D^{*}$ are inside $c$. Then $T^{*}$ can be either a top or, bottom bucket. If $T^{*}$ is a bottom bucket, consider the scaling curve $\gamma$ as in 7 a and let the arc in $\gamma \backslash a_{0}$ between $T$ and $T^{*}$ be $\gamma^{\prime}$. It can be seen from 7 a that by virtue of the choice of $\gamma, c \backslash a_{0}$ contains a subset of arcs that cover all the $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $\left(\gamma \backslash a_{0}\right) \backslash \gamma^{\prime}$. Since $T^{*}$ doesn't lie inside $c$, there exists a pair of $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $c \backslash a_{0}$ that almost covers $\gamma^{\prime}$.


Figure 6. A schematic of $\mathcal{P}$ (dotted lines) if $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{4}$ occur as above in $R_{a_{4}}$.



Figure 8


Figure 9. A schematic of $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ when they do not cover every arc of $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$.

Case ii : Suppose there exists at least two distinct strands, $c^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime \prime}$, of $c$ in $R_{a_{4}}$. Assume that $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{p}, \delta q\right]}$ and $c^{\prime \prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)_{\left[\delta^{r}, \delta^{s}\right]}$ where $1 \leq r<s \leq m$. If either $\delta^{p} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\delta^{q} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in the same top bucket or, $\delta^{r} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ and $\delta^{s} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ lie in the same top bucket then by lemma $2, c$ and $a_{0}$ fills $S_{g}$. The argument is similar to that of case i when the end points of the strand lie in $T_{e}$ and $B_{e}$ for some $e \in K=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. We can thus assume that $p \leq r$.

Suppose $p<r$. If $q<r$, then for every $j \in K$, there exists an arc of $\left(c^{\prime} \cup c^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash a_{0}$ that covers $a_{4}^{j}$. Thus, $c \backslash a_{0}$ forms a filling system of $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$. If $q=r$, then figure 9 gives the only instance when there exists a $J \in K$ such that $a_{4}^{J}$ isn't covered by an arc of $\left(c^{\prime} \cup c^{\prime \prime}\right) \backslash a_{0}$. It follows from lemma 2 that $c \backslash a_{0}$ forms a filling system of arcs in $S_{g} \backslash a_{0}$.

Suppose $p=r$. We rename $c^{\prime}$ to be the strand of $c$ such that one of the components of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \backslash\left\{\delta^{p}, c^{\prime}\right\}$ doesn't contain any points of $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \cap c$ in its interior. Let $z$ be the component of $c \backslash R_{a_{4}}$ containing $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. Rename $c^{\prime \prime}$ to be the strand of $c$ such that $z \cap c^{\prime \prime} \neq \phi$. We claim that $z \cap c^{\prime \prime}$ lies on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$.

Let $z^{\prime}$ be the component of $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \backslash z$ such that no points of $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right) \cap c$ lies in its interior. On the contrary, if $z \cap c^{\prime \prime}$ lies on $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$ then we get that $z$ is isotopic to $z^{\prime}$. This follows because the curve obtained by concatenating $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ is a curve disjoint from $\delta$ and $a_{4}$. Since $d\left(\delta, a_{4}\right) \geq 3$, this curve has to be non-essential. Therefore, we must have that $z \cap c^{\prime \prime}$ lies on $\partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. Let $\tilde{z}$ be the component of $c \backslash R_{a_{4}}$ containing $c^{\prime} \cap \partial_{+}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. A similar argument ensures that the end point of $\tilde{z}$ lies in $\partial_{-}\left(R_{a_{4}}\right)$. Thus, following the naming convention of $D, D^{*}, T$ and $T^{*}$ for the strand $c^{\prime}$ as in case i , we can consider a scaling curve as in figure 8. Similar arguments as in case i gives that $c$ and $a_{0}$ fills $S_{g}$.

### 6.3. Conclusion

If $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=4$, then there exists $\delta \in B_{1}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ and corresponding to $\delta$ there exists $c \in B_{1}(\delta) \cap B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $a_{0}, p, c, \delta, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is a geodesic. We consider the representatives of $\delta$ and $c$ to be the ones as described in section 5.4 and section 6.1, respectively. Then a schematic of a possible $p$ in $R_{a_{4}}$ is as in figure 6. We now describe an equivalent condition for the existence of $p$ in the form of buckets. Given such a curve $p$, consider the collection $\mathcal{Y}_{p}$ of all top and bottom buckets in $R_{a_{4}}$ containing $p$. Since $p \cap a_{0}=\phi$, if $T_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}_{p}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ then $B_{i} \in \mathcal{Y}_{p}$. Conversely, we define a collection of pairs of top and bottom bucket $\left\{\left(T_{i}, B_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$ for some $I \subset K=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ where for every $T_{i}$ there exists unique $j \in I$ and $j \neq i$ such that $T_{i} \cup T_{j} \subset Y$ (or, $T_{i} \cup B_{j} \subset Y$ ) for some component $Y$ of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ as a stack of buckets. We note that given a stack of buckets we can always construct a curve disjoint from $a_{0}$. The pattern in figure 6 can be described as the inside of $c$ containing a stack of buckets. For any given $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ if the inside of $c$ contains a stack of buckets, we say $c$ has the stacking property. Thus, we conclude that :

Lemma 9. $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=4$ if and only if there exists $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ such that $c$ has the stacking property.

From our analysis of curves $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \cap B_{1}(\delta)$ in section 6.2 , we have that if $c$ is not a standard single strand curve then $c$ and $a_{0}$ always fill. Thus we have the following theorem :

Theorem 9. Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ be curves on $S_{g}$ such that $d\left(a_{0}, a_{4}\right)=4$ and the components of $S_{g} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ doesn't contain any hexagons. Then, $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \geq 5$ if and only if there doesn't exist any standard single strand curve $c \in B_{2}\left(T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ having the stacking property.

An advantage of theorem 9 is that it reduces the number of possible vertices through which a path of length 4 between $a_{0}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ if it exists can pass.

## Chapter 7

## A PAIR OF DISTANCE 5 CURVES ON $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$

The work in this chapter is part of the preprint [16].
Let $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ be curves on $S_{2}$ as in figure 1. These curves are at a distance 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ and are taken from [5]. In this section we show that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)=5$ by giving a geodesic between them. Let $b_{0}=a_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ be curves on $S_{2}$ as in figure 2 and $b_{4}$ be as in figure 4. The juxtaposition of the curves in figure 2 and 4 shows that $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ form a path of length 4 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$.

Since $a_{0}$ and $a_{4}$ fill $S_{2}$, we can give a schematic of $S_{2}$ by giving the components of $S_{2} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ as polygons whose vertices are the points of $a_{0} \cap a_{4}$ marked as in figure 1 and edges correspond to arcs of $a_{0} \backslash a_{4}$ or, $a_{4} \backslash a_{0}$. Figure 6a - 10 represent all polygons but the rectangle with vertices $10,9,4,5$ of $S \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. We give a juxtaposition of the curves $b_{4}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ in minimal position on $S_{2}$ by giving their arcs on the polygonal discs of $S \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$. Since the representatives of $b_{4}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ that we pick don't have any arcs in the rectangle of $S_{2} \backslash\left(a_{0} \cup a_{4}\right)$ with vertices $10,9,4,5$, we exclude this rectangles from the figures. In figure 6 a -10 , the straight lines correspond to the arcs of $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ and the dotted ones correspond to $b_{4}$. Since there is no intersection between these arcs, we conclude that $b_{4}$ and $T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ are at a distance 1 in $S_{2}$.

We now show that $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)>4$ by using lemma 9 . Consider the curves $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{4}$ as in figure 3 which are at a distance 1 from $a_{4}$. If for any $i_{0} \in\{1,2,3,4\}, T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap \gamma_{i_{0}}=\phi$ then $a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta), \gamma_{i_{0}}, a_{4}$ will form a path of length 3 , which is absurd. Thus, $d\left(T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta), \gamma_{i}\right) \geq 2$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Now, since $d\left(T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta), a_{0}\right)=1$ and $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap a_{4} \neq \phi$, the arcs in the non-empty set $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap R_{a_{4}}$ are parallel to the arcs in $a_{0} \cap R_{a_{4}}$. Since, $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap \gamma_{i} \neq \phi$ for every $i=1,2,3,4$, we refer to figure 3 and observe that for any two possible consecutive arcs of $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap$ $R_{a_{4}}$ there are no stack of buckets between them. We note that we can circumvent


Figure 1

Figure 2
verifying the above for the set of all possible consecutive $\operatorname{arcs}$ of $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap R_{a_{4}}$ by looking at only the consecutive $\operatorname{arcs}$ of $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta) \cap R_{a_{4}}$ that has the maximum number of top buckets between them. Since the inside of a $c$ is contained in some $\delta$-track and the strands of $\delta$ that constitute the boundary of a $\delta$-track are $T_{a_{4}}$-image of some arc of $T_{a_{4}}^{-1}(\delta)$ therefore, no $c$ has the stacking property. Thus, $d\left(a_{0}, T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)>4$.

From the above discussion, we conclude that the path in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$ comprising of vertices $b_{0}=a_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}=T_{a_{4}}\left(a_{0}\right)$ is a geodesic of length 5 in $\mathcal{C}\left(S_{2}\right)$. As an application of this example we give an upper bound on $i_{\min }(2,5)$ as follows :

Corollary 4. $i_{\min }(2,5) \leq 144$.
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Figure 3. Regular neighbourhood of $a_{4}$ with $a_{4} \cap a_{0}$ marked as in figure 1. The vertical arcs represent $a_{0}$.


Figure 5. $R_{2}$


Figure 6

(A) $R_{4}$

(в) $R_{6}$

Figure 7


Figure 8. $H_{1}$


Figure 10
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